THE STATE OF ARIZONA CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC MEETING

Phoenix, Arizona
January 21, 2016
9:30 a.m.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
PO Box 513, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340
(P) 623-975-7472 (F) 623-975-7462
www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

Reported By: Angela Furniss Miller, RPR Certified Reporter (AZ 50127)

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

1 of 10 sheets Page 1 to 1 of 34

2 3 PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE CITIZENS CLEAN 1 PROCEEDING 2 ELECTIONS COMMISSION, convened at 9:30 a.m. on January 21, 2 3 2016, at the State of Arizona, Clean Elections Commission, 4 1616 W. Adams, Conference Room, Phoenix, Arizona, in the 3 CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: Okay. Now is the time for 5 presence of the following Board members: 4 the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission, 6 Mr. Mitchell C. Laird, Chairperson 5 January 21, 2016. I call the meeting to order. Mr. Thomas J. Koester 7 Mr. Mark S. Kimble 6 And the Commission may vote at some point to go 7 into executive session, which will not be open to the OTHERS PRESENT: 8 8 public, for the purpose of obtaining legal advice on any 9 Thomas M. Collins, Executive Director 9 item listed on the agenda. Paula Thomas, Executive Officer 10 The first item I have after call to order is 10 Sara Larsen, Financial Affairs Officer 11 Gina Roberts, Voter Education Manager discussion and possible action on the Commission minutes 11 Mike Becker, Policy Director 12 for December 17th, 2015. Comments? James P. Driscoll-MacEachron, AAG 13 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman, I move the 12 Lauren Coffman, R&R Partners 14 minutes be approved. Rivko Knox, League of Women Voters 13 Patricia Koester, Citizen 15 CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: Okay. Barbara Lubin, Arizona Democratic Party 16 COMMISSIONER KOESTER: I second. I second. 14 Samantha Pstross, Arizona Advocacy Network 17 CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: All in favor say "aye." Mary O'Grady, Osborn Maledon 15 18 (Chorus of ayes.) 16 19 17 20 CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: There's just three of us 18 19 21 today. So, I became Chairman and -- and they started 20 22 dropping out like flies, they didn't want to be here. 21 22 23 The next item on the agenda is the discussion and 23 24 possible action on the Executive Director's report. 24 25 **Director Collins?** 25 Miller Certified Reporting, LLC Miller Certified Reporting, LLC 1 1 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I have a meeting next week, I'll be in Flagstaff 2 Commissioners. Welcome, Mr. Chairman, to the talking to -- I'm not sure who. I think it's the League. 3 chairmanship. 3 I think it's the League, but I could be wrong. I have a 4 4 Just real briefly, we have a full slate of -- of forum I'm doing up there on Clean Elections. 5 5 activities, both the recent past and in -- in upcoming. Presidential preference election, just really 6 6 In the -- in the next -- last week, Alec and I were at quickly, we didn't do this as a separate agenda item, but 7 the -- were outside of Flagstaff at the Navajo Nation, 7 just to -- we heard a lot from County Recorders about the 8 with the Navajo County/Apache County and Navajo Nation need to do education on the PPE spec- -- a couple 9 9 itself, to partner with them to -- they're putting different messaging points, including the fact that so 10 together an event on rural addressing. And, you know, one 10 long as we have a PPE, which many people would like to do 11 11 of the -- just to give you a footnote on why we were there away with, including the Secretary of State, which, you 12 and why we were -- helped them co-sponsor this event, a 12 know, we don't -- that's not something we have a view on. 13 13 real issue on the -- on the Navajo Nation and elsewhere is Independent voters cannot participate in the PPE. 14 the -- the lack of -- of -- of street addresses, and how 14 And, so, there's a lot of folks who show up at -- on, you 15 15 you can get -- you know, that's how we deliver the know, March 22nd, who because they're Independents, 16 16 candidate statement pamphlet to every household in the they're used to voting, they think they can vote, they get 17 17 state, and -- and -- and that's something that -- so we provisional ballot, 'cause you -- you -- you are mandated 18 18 think that that's a direct connection to participation. to give anybody who shows up at the polls a ballot. It 19 19 Certainly, the Recorder's offices believe that, and then doesn't necessarily mean that it's going to be counted, 20 20 additionally the things like 9-1-1 and other things that but they got to -- but it creates -- then you have to go 21 21 they're working on, that this is all part of a larger back and check and it says, oh, you're not eligible, and 22 22 project. So, it was really great to be there. they -- that ballot doesn't get counted. But that's a lot 23 Gina will be next week out with the City Clerks 23 of extra paperwork for the Recorder's office, so they 24 24 talking to them about some of the activities we have going wanted an educational effort around the PPE. We have --25 on and then meeting with the Intertribal Council. 25 you approved the -- that as part of our voter education Miller Certified Reporting, LLC Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

program and we've -- we're implementing that now.

So, we're pretty excited about it. We've had meetings with -- Gina has a meeting coming up at the end of next week with all the County Recorders. We've talked to Helen Purcell and Karen Osborne at Maricopa County, we've talked to Patty Hansen in Coconino County, we've talked to F. Ann Rodriguez in -- in -- in Pima, and the Cochise County Recorders -- Election Director. So -- so, that's been well received. We're going to -- you know, we have some -- we're going to continue to work on that. That will be rolling out here literally next week and then -- so, we're -- we're excited about that.

Again, it's the kind of thing -- just to -- not to spend too long on this, but just to kind of put a button on this, that, you know, the Counties don't have the resources to do affirmative education to mitigate participation issues, both, you know, people not understanding the process and to help mitigate their own workload when it comes to getting these election results done. So, that's the reason why over the course of the last several years, we think it's a benefit to voters to not have to be confused, right? And then at the same time, it demonstrates that our -- I think our commitment to work with the partnerships we're trying to build with the Counties to say, look, you know, the fund is there, we Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

1 can -- we have the resources that voters set aside, you

2 know. Because, you know, elections are not education,

3 healthcare, prison, public safety, those kinds of things,

4 that's why you have dedicated funding from the Clean

5 Elections Act to pay for this kind of activity. And

6 that's the purpose of it and that's something we --

7 you-all have been committed to, and this is another

8 example of making good on the commitments that we've

9 gone -- staff has gone out and -- and -- and essentially,

10 you know, agreed -- you know, said to the Counties that 11 the Commission is receptive to that. So, we're continuing

12 to do it.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just want to try to articulate that we're very, very excited about, you know, encour- -- you know, making sure that these resources we have are responsive to the needs of both voters and other election officials.

Sorry. If I could.

You see the participating candidate numbers and enforcements. I have -- I don't really have anything to update, and I don't have anything to report back to you on -- on any of the -- anything else out there. Although, Legacy Foundation, I will note, filed their brief I think the other day and so we have that available to you if you're interested in reading it. There's their brief on the question of their failure to file their appeal in a

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

8

timely manner.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, with that, I apologize for taking more time than usual on this, but if you have any questions, I'll --I'll -- I'm happy to take them, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: Questions? Comments?

COMMISSIONER KOESTER: No.

CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: Thank you, Director Collins.

We'll move on to the next item on the agenda, Item No. IV is a discussion and possible action on the Five-Year Review Report submitted to the Governor's Regulatory Review Council in 2015. Director Collins, do you want to lead that discussion?

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, yes, please. Just a real brief introduction and overview. We met -- we had this five-year review -- we never broke out the five-year review as a separate agenda item, because this is a fairly -- this is something -- putting aside for a second, which is something we'll discuss more at length later, whether or not this five-year review process is something we are obligated to participate in or comply with, the Commission has in fact done that.

So, what that means is that under Title 41, the Governor's Regulatory Review Council is a body housed in the Arizona Department of Administration, and under that, their -- their statutes, every agency has to review all of Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

1 its rules every five years, write up a report where the 2

public comment that's been received on those rules is 3 included, how the -- how the Commission dealt with the

4 report -- or, dealt with those issues, and then submit it

5 for approval.

6 This Commission has done that at least in 2005 7 and 2010, without any issues. We did it again in 2015.

And I think -- and, so, we provided them a comprehensive

9 re- -- as I mentioned in I think previous Executive

10 Director's reports on our rules -- every rule, the

11 changes, any changes that have been made since 2010.

12 There are -- since it's been a matter of routine 13 and -- and -- and so that went on. Then at the -- toward 14 the -- at the -- we had a study session with them. They 15 do their business such that they usually have a study 16 session on -- on a Tuesday and then the following Tuesday have a -- have that, have whatever was studied as an 17 18 action item. 19

20 everybody, we had a study session, they had no substantive 21 comments on -- at the study session at all. It was there 22 was some procedural things, which I think I briefed you 23 about in the last Executive Director's report trying to --24 we wanted to make sure the record was clear, because there 25 have been some legislative changes to GRRC, that we don't Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

We had a study session -- just to refresh

3 of 10 sheets Page 6 to 9 of 34 believe are of effect. And when I say "we," I mean me and I -- and -- and our -- well, and so -- and our legal counsel I guess for lack of a better way of putting it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, that study session, no substantive comments whatsoever, and no appearance by the Secretary of State. The main meeting comes up, the Secretary of State about five minutes before that meeting begins, delivers to them a 16-page, single-spaced letter, which you all have, which is basically a -- you know, an argument for having GRRC order the repeal or amendment of every rule this Commission has passed that relates to non-participating candidates or independent expenditures since 2013.

The Council discussed that, they discussed the entire agenda item, you have a transcript of that. They ultimately tabled it and then we will be back in front of them for a study session I believe on Tuesday. The -that's sort of where things are.

I will say that the comments in the transcript we -- we had done on the meeting, demonstrate that this is a process that is not the same process this Commission has gone through with GRRC in 2005 and 2010. The -- there were some questions that are troublesome if you're trying to persuade folks. I think specifically, we have a -there's a question you'll see in there, that the -- for an explanation of the statute, but this desire that we omit Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

12

15

16

24

25

8

to -- that were not touched this summer.

So, this is not about merely the opera- -- you know, the rulemaking we did this summer. This is a -this is a much more sweeping assertion than the Secretary of State's office has ever made in front of this Body.

You know, they didn't participate and they didn't file any, you know, amendment -- any amendment motion, which our rules allow them to on any of those other issues had they wanted to tee them up previous. So, you know, that's kind of the lay of the land. I don't want to -- I think most of that stuff you already have from me. So, if there's questions you have on the -- that relate to that or you think we can handle in open session, I'm happy to take them.

If, you know, at some point if you want to talk, Mary, obviously, is here and -- and -- and available to advise us on this; the Attorney General correctly determined that this is not a thing that they can advise either GRRC or us upon, so.

CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: Okay. Thank you, Director Collins, for that very good summary of the issues raised by this letter from the Secretary of State.

I wonder, Tom, if we could -- public comment is at the end, but I'm -- I wonder if anybody is here that wants to make a public comment maybe before we go into Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

1 any mention of court cases where the Commission's 2 positions have been validated. Another member said that 3 -- expressly, that his feeling was anything that an 4 elected official thought was good was bad -- that an 5 appointed official -- that an appointed official was bad, 6 the elected official sort of trumps.

7 You know, these are positions that are hard to 8 work through from a legal perspective on the pers- -- on 9 the persuade-ability of folks. And, you know, as we'll --10 as I've already said in my -- in the -- in the comments 11 that -- that you are aware of and that I've said 12 publically, you know, it's -- we really don't think that 13 GRRC can do this to any affect. That's something 14 that's -- that's -- that's my view.

17 the lay of the land. That's the reality of the situation. 18 And, you know, we are attempting to, you know, file 19 something with them to try anew at the persuasive aspect, 20 to address the Secretary of State's arguments, which --21 some of which are new, some of which were not made in the 22 -109 process, because this includes, you know, a new -- a 23

We can talk more about beyond that if -- if you-all are interested in executive session. But that's

rules that are -- that we have in there that relate to --

new issue on the non-participating candidates, which were

not subject to any rulemaking this summer; some other

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

13

1 executive session and hear from our legal counsel.

2 MR. COLLINS: That's -- that's a good idea, Mr. 3 Chairman, yes. I know we have at least one person here to 4 comment on this agenda item and perhaps more, but I know

5 Samantha Pstross is the Money and Politics Manager at the

6 Arizona Advocacy Network.

7 MS. PSTROSS: Hello.

CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: Hello.

9 MS. PSTROSS: I'm tall, I'll put it up here.

10 My name is Samantha Pstross, I'm the Money

11 and Politics Program Manager at the Arizona Advocacy

12 Network and I'm here today representing the Arizona

13 Advocacy Network.

14 The Arizona Advocacy Network is deeply concerned 15 that the Governor's Regulatory Review Council believes 16 that it has power over the independent Citizens Clean 17 Elections Commission. We wholeheartedly disagree with the 18 overreaching belief by the GRRC.

19 As we all know, the independent Citizens Clean 20 Election Commission was created by the voters to, and I 21 quote: "Improve the integrity of Arizona state govern- --22 government." That's a quote directly from the Clean 23 Elections Act written into Title 16, Chapter 6, Article 2 24 of the Arizona Revised Statutes.

25 The independent Citizens Clean Election Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

5 of 10 sheets Page 14 to 17 of 34

17

18

21

25

19

understands where we're at currently, and I think we'll --2 I don't know what the folks who are here to -- to talk about this may think, but, you know, we are trying to internally to -- to mitigate any lurching as a result of this.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

21

22

23

Ultimately, nobody disagrees that you ought to have more electronic filing rather than less, less paperwork rather than more. That's not the issue. The issue is the mechanism, the process by which you do that, whether it's done in a timely manner, whether it's announced in a timely manner. As far as I can tell, the only way this was promulgated was in a letter to me, not in any other kind of public way, which is troubling on its own.

So -- and then, ultimately, the reservation of the right to reject for policies that have no, you know, I mean, you know, that seem extra legal, you know, is -- is problematic. So, that's the issue.

But, you know, our role, I think that the staff's conclusion, all of us so far is, we need to be in a mitigation mode to the extent, for example, that folks need, you know, a place to scan slips. That's something we're looking at, how we can make sure that our scanner, you know, can bear that load; you know, what it would take to -- to -- to make that happen, those kinds of things.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

1 our education materials that are -- Sara by the -- I think 2 we got this on the 11th, that's like a Monday, by the Friday Sara had a training that this was already included 4 in. We had sent a blast e-mail out to all of the participating candidates telling them that about these policies.

So, you know, we haven't -- we have not waited at all to try to -- to try to, you know, to do anything other than to get this information out there. Assume it's a fait accompli, but it's not a great fait accompli, it's something you ought to be aware of. And there's some 12 folks who -- who want to speak on it, but that's sort of where we are. But we're not -- we don't have a recommendation beyond -- beyond: This is not a great thing.

16 CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: But you're doing your best 17 to --

18 MR. COLLINS: We're doing -- that's right. 19 CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: -- implement it as best as 20 you can.

MR. COLLINS: That's right. And, honestly, if somebody ends up, I think that the -- well, I'll leave it there, I guess. And...

24 CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: Okay. Is there anyone who 25 would like to comment on this particular issue as opposed Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

I mean, that was -- I think that's one of the

2 other things that is troublesome, is, you know, we ask

3 specifically: Will there will be a place? The Secretary

4 of State's office has a public terminal for folks to use a

5 computer, but they will not hook a scanner up to it.

6 Which, I mean, I don't know. Look, I don't -- you know,

7 we can do that, it's not the end of the world. But, you

8 know, it's -- it's sort of a funny -- funny -- funny way 9 to go about this.

10 You know, I think we've heard from both -- both 11 sides of the aisle, at least one person from both sides of 12 the aisle saying that this was -- that, really, that's the 13 issue: If I've got good slips, if I've got good 14 qualifiers, and I show up and I get rejected, that's the 15 thing that I think is troubling as in -- to folks. I 16 don't -- you know, that may not be the view of everybody,

but that's certainly, I think, what the staff view is.

And I don't want to belabor the point because I 19 know there are folks who also want to speak on this point, 20 but we don't have a recommendation at this point for action per se. I mean, this is not a thing where we 22 think that the -- that, you know, 'cause I'm not sure that 23 there's any action to take, other than to try as best we 24 can. We've already -- the other thing I should have

mentioned is, we already wrapped this into our voter --Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

21

1 to waiting until the end, I would like to hear your 2 comments now.

3 MS. KNOX: Good morning, Chairman Laird and 4 members of the Commission and Mr. Collins. My name is 5 Rivko Knox and I represent the League of Women Voters of 6 Arizona.

7 Just real quick statement before I make my statement, which is that -- those of you I think are all 9 aware, that the League of Women Voters was instrumental in 10 the creation of the initiative that created the Clean 11 Elections Commission; and then worked very, very hard to 12 ensure that it was passed and has worked closely with the 13 Clean Elections Commission for years. So, we have an 14 extremely long-term commitment to the -- to the Clean 15 Elections Commission.

I typed up a statement this morning that was approved and then, of course, left it in the printer. So, I've been trying to recreate it while I was listening to what was going on.

The League of Women Voters is concerned about the very recent new rules issued by the Secretary of State regarding electronic filing for Clean Election candidates. The League believes that all candidates, those running clean as well as traditional, should be treated as equally as possible.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

Further, the League is concerned about the 2 process. We believe in providing citizen participation as much as possible, with citizens having every opportunity to comment on how rules are imposed and to ensure transparency as well as due process. So, that's our primary concern.

And if we had known -- I must admit, I was not aware and I'm not -- I don't think anyone else in the League was aware of the GRCC [sic] situation. If we had been, I think we -- I would have been authorized to make a statement, but I didn't know about it. So, thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: Thank you so much for those comments.

15 Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LUBIN: Good morning, Commissioners and staff. My name is Barbara Lubin. I am the Political Director of the Arizona Democratic Party, but I was also one of the very first candidates running under the Clean Elections law in 2000, when I was running for the Corporation Commission. And while I ultimately lost, I went on to a position of non-profit that promoted, protected, and defended the Clean Elections law. In that capacity, I also conducted a lot of training sessions for candidates that were running under -- under the law.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

24

their own software to form a committee and file it properly.

I -- when I was running, it was a paper process. And in my capacity the last few years, I have had some candidates come and sit in my office and say can you help me, and then I realized some of the quirks in this system and it really is tricky. And -- and so if then something has -- hundreds of documents have to be scanned and then produced in one single file or -- or disk, it's probably harder than the people that are coming up with these ideas have ever done in their own capacity as -- and perhaps in their jobs they've done it, but I have a feeling they've delegated it to someone else to take care of.

So, I'd also like to speak, in some of the exchanges back and forth between the Secretary of State's office, it was suggested that the Commission somehow made the rule that candidates had to file -- came up with the submitting the W-9 form before a check could be cut to them. And I was one of the first, like I say, statewide candidates to get a check cut to me and I think that needs to be researched and I have a feeling it was the Department of Administration who made that requirement, it was not that -- something that the Clean Elections Commission came up with on their own. So, once again, I'm very concerned and the -- and

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

1 I would like to speak to this change that the 2 Secretary of State's office is proposing. And like the 3 other people that have spoken, I am very concerned that 4 they're changing the rules once we are already in this 5 electoral process. And, in fact, I believe that there are 6 at least a few participating Clean Elections candidates 7 that have already filed their \$5 qualifying contributions 8 along with their petitions.

The purpose of the Clean Elections law was certainly to open up the process to people that are not professional politicians, that are not tied to special interests, and putting more and more burdens on them, including this electronic process, I think is contrary to the -- to the purpose of -- of the law.

While scanning one or two documents is one thing, scanning hundreds -- and in the case of statewide candidates, thousands -- is a whole different matter. And I really hope that if ultimately the Secretary of State prevails in this change that if they refuse to provide a scanning process, that the Commission acts to benefit and assist candidates.

I think it's really nice to go and promulgate these rules, but sometimes I really wish that those that were adopting these policies, had to step in the shoes of a candidate and go on to their own Websites and try to use

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

25

the Party is concerned that the rules are changing midstream. And also that in the technical ability is that 3 -- that there's some process to assist candidates when 4 they are having to comply with these requirements that require both electronic equipment and expertise, I find to 6 be a burden on candidates, and I hope that it is 7 mitigated. 8 And, of course, the problems comes down when

people are running the deadline. If people are filing early and they have several days to go and find scanners, but as we all know, as oftentimes, people are busy collecting those \$5 forms to the last minute, and then it's really crunch time when possibly they could not meet the filing deadline. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: Thank you for those very good comments. They're well taken.

Anybody else that wants to comment on this issue? Please.

19 MS. PSTROSS: Good morning again, Chairman, 20 Commissioners, and staff. I'm Samantha Pstross, still 21 representing the Arizona Advocacy Network.

22 And I just want to echo what Barb- -- Barbara 23 Lubin said. We are concerned that these changes are being 24 made halfway through the -- the qualifying period. I know 25 at least one candidate has already turned in all of their Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

7 of 10 sheets Page 22 to 25 of 34

27

forms, and so the Secretary of State hasn't been clear on what -- you know, what's going to happen, if that candidate will have to do it over again.

We're concerned about the added time constraints and monetary constraints. Scanning hundreds of forms will -- will cost candidates and people who have already chosen to run as a Clean Elections candidate.

And so we have urged the Secretary of State to make this process voluntary for now so that -- that candidates can run the way that they have traditionally done Clean Elections; or, if they choose to use this system, it could be kind of a trial period to see how it works. I had a reply back from Director Spencer this morning and it sounds like they do not want to make it voluntary. So, we're very discouraged about that.

So, the Arizona Advocacy Network is concerned about this. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: Thank you for those very good comments. Appreciate them.

Anybody else?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

25

Okay. Those are very good comments, we appreciate the input. Very helpful.

Okay. At this point, we will move on to the next issue on the agenda, which is the discussion and possible action on 2016 legislative updates. Director Collins?

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

28

someone -- someone will be testifying in favor of HB 2121, which would give us the express authority to do some voter education around the items identified there.

And -- and that's really the only bill that's on tap right now that's -- that's clearly on our -- our radar screen on the -- in the next week.

And then the bill filing deadline I think comes up here the beginning of sometime in February. And once the bill filing deadline comes, then we'll kind of know better, you know, what -- what's real and what's not.

10 11 So far, Representative Petersen's other measure 12 is, and then -- and then Representative Ugenti's repealer, 13 they're out there. In other words, the repealer-type 14 stuff is out there. Representative Ugenti's repealer I 15 should say, though, is -- is not a -- is a straight -- is 16 what you want to call a straight-up repealer. It is not 17 a -- it is not a re-appropriation or re-titling or any of 18 the things, other than it's an up-or-down vote as it's 19 currently drafted. Whether or not it moves is a question. 20 Whether or not it has the votes is a question. But it is, 21 you know, just -- just so everyone understands what we're 22 talking about, we're talking about an -- it will be an 23 up-or-down vote on whether or not the Act ought to be 24 continued to be in place.

So, that's all I have.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

1 MR. COLLINS: Yeah. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, 2 just real briefly, and, you know, Mike Becker is here and he's put together this report that you have with you.

4 We are -- I don't really have much to add beyond 5 what's there. There was a new House Concurrent Resolution 6 dropped yesterday by Representative Petersen that would 7 ask the voters to amend the Clean Elections Act to bar you 8 from hiring a lobbyist who is not an employee of the 9 Commission, and bar -- and change the exemptions in the 10 rulemaking process that are currently there, Rule (C). As

11 -- as Joe Kanefield said, he wasn't sure whether to be --12 be flattered or to be offended.

14 bill, HB 2121, which we actually like quite a bit, which 15 takes the -- the ideas that we've been talking about for 16 the last several years on -- on judicial retention, 17 especially which is what he's interested in, and something 18 we've already started, we've done outreach to the State 19 Supreme Court and their government relations team. So, 20 we're hopeful that -- that Representative Petersen will 21 move forward HB 2121 and not move forward his HCR, which 22 -- but, we'll see about that.

We are working with that same sponsor on another

23 That's really it. We don't have an action I 24 think to ask for at this point, other than we'll be in 25 House elections next week testifying, either me or Joe or

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

29

1 I think there's -- I will note, the Secretary of 2 State's campaign finance bill, which we've talked a little 3 bit about, has not yet emerged in any kind of final form,

4 although we have draft copies of that which we can 5 circulate, too, if you're interested.

6 And I think Rivko has a comment along -- about 7 legislative matters. And that's -- that's all I have, 8 though.

9 CHAIRPERSON LAIRD: Thank you, Director Collins. 10 And following the pattern we've been using, if anybody 11 wants to comment on this particular agenda item, I would 12 be happy to hear that.

13 MS. KNOX: I remain Rivko Knox. And, again, 14 thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission and 15 Mr. Collins.

Brief statement, the League of -- well, part of it I've already kind of said before. We were instrumental -- the League of Women Voters of Arizona, instrumental in the passage of Clean Elections initiative and strongly support the recent actions of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission in attempting to ensure more financial disclosure in Arizona campaigns.

23 The League of Women Voters of the United States 24 as well as the League here in Arizona are strong proponents of campaign finance disclosure; therefore, we Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

9 of 10 sheets Page 30 to 33 of 34