1	
2	
3	
4	THE STATE OF ARIZONA
5	CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC MEETING
11	
12	
13	
14	Phoenix, Arizona
15	March 28, 2019
16	9:31 a.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	COASH & COASH, INC. Court Reporting, Video & Videoconferencing
22	1802 North 7th Street, Phoenix, AZ 85006 602-258-1440 staff@coashandcoash.com
23	
24	Prepared by: LILIA MONARREZ, CSR, RPR
25	Certificate No. 50699

Coash & Coash, Inc. 602-258-1440 www.coashandcoash.com

Citi	zens Clean Elections Commission	March 28, 2019
	Page 2	09:32:28-09:34:05 Page 4
1	PUBLIC MEETING BEFORE THE CITIZENS CLEAN	1 on avagutive director's report and locialative report
2	ELECTIONS COMMISSION convened at 9:31 a.m. on March 28, 2019, at the State of Arizona, Clean Elections	1 on executive director's report and legislative report.2 Tom?
3	Commission, 1616 West Adams, Conference Room, Phoenix, Arizona, in the presence of the following Board members:	
4	Mr. Mark S. Kimble, Chairperson Mr. Damien R. Meyer	3 MR. COLLINS: Yes. Commissioners, thank
5	Mr. Galen D. Paton	4 you.
6	OTHERS PRESENT:	5 Real quick, you know, we had the Phoenix
7	Thomas M. Collins, Executive Director Paula Thomas, Executive Officer	6 mayoral election for was last was just a couple
8	Mike Becker, Policy Director Alec Shaffer, Web Content Manager	7 of weeks ago, and it had it had a pretty high
9	Fanessa Salazar, Administrative Assistant Kara Karlson, AZ Attorney General's Office	8 turnout for a for a for a Phoenix election. And
10	Joseph LaRue, AZ Attorney General's Office Christopher Gilfillan	9 there will be a but there will be a runoff for the
11	Christina Borrego, RIESTER Ryan Wheelock, RIESTER	10 two council districts seats that were not settled by
12	Ryan wheelock, Riesler	11 the election that was running at the same time. And
		12 then we have some other local elections coming up on
13		13 May 21st in Chino Valley, San Luis and Wickenburg. So
14		14 there will be information on that on our website.
15		15 On the voter education front, also,
16		16 obviously you know, we'll talk a little bit more
17		17 about that later on the agenda with respect to the 18
18		18 in 2018 Campaign. Gina couldn't be here today, but we
19		19 decided that was this was a timely time to talk
20		20 about that.
21		21 So Gina and Alec will, next week, be in
22		22 Nashville for a conference on government social media
23		23 use. So I think that will be I think that will be
24		24 good. I think right now, you know, people look to our
25		25 voter education department and Gina and Alec for
00.0	D. 45 00 00 05	00.04.00.00.05.00 Data 5
09:3	B1:45-09:32:25 Page 3	09:34:09-09:35:36 Page 5
09:3 1	Page 3 P R O C E E D I N G	09:34:09-09:35:36Page 51 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity
1		1 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity
1 2 3	PROCEEDING	1 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity2 to learn more about that is a good investment.
1 2 3 4	P R O C E E D I N G CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm	 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity to learn more about that is a good investment. We have one enforcement case left from 2018
1 2 3 4	P R O C E E D I N G CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of	 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity to learn more about that is a good investment. We have one enforcement case left from 2018 that is still pending.
1 2 3 4 5 6	P R O C E E D I N G CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019.	 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity to learn more about that is a good investment. We have one enforcement case left from 2018 that is still pending. I do want to call your attention to the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	P R O C E E D I N G CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible	 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity to learn more about that is a good investment. We have one enforcement case left from 2018 that is still pending. I do want to call your attention to the article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	P R O C E E D I N G CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th,	 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity to learn more about that is a good investment. We have one enforcement case left from 2018 that is still pending. I do want to call your attention to the article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019.	 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity to learn more about that is a good investment. We have one enforcement case left from 2018 that is still pending. I do want to call your attention to the article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to	 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity to learn more about that is a good investment. We have one enforcement case left from 2018 that is still pending. I do want to call your attention to the article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I thought it was a great great article, and,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to the minutes?	 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity to learn more about that is a good investment. We have one enforcement case left from 2018 that is still pending. I do want to call your attention to the article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I thought it was a great great article, and, obviously, you all know her from her from her being
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to the minutes? (No response.)	 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity to learn more about that is a good investment. We have one enforcement case left from 2018 that is still pending. I do want to call your attention to the article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I thought it was a great great article, and, obviously, you all know her from her from her being here to keep us informed.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to the minutes? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: If not, is there a motion	 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity to learn more about that is a good investment. We have one enforcement case left from 2018 that is still pending. I do want to call your attention to the article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I thought it was a great great article, and, obviously, you all know her from her from her being here to keep us informed. On the legislative front, I wanted to call
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to the minutes? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: If not, is there a motion to approve them?	 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity to learn more about that is a good investment. We have one enforcement case left from 2018 that is still pending. I do want to call your attention to the article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I thought it was a great great article, and, obviously, you all know her from her from her being here to keep us informed. On the legislative front, I wanted to call a couple of bills. As I said, right now and this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to the minutes? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: If not, is there a motion to approve them? COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we approve	 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity to learn more about that is a good investment. We have one enforcement case left from 2018 that is still pending. I do want to call your attention to the article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I thought it was a great great article, and, obviously, you all know her from her from her being here to keep us informed. On the legislative front, I wanted to call a couple of bills. As I said, right now and this has been historically true. Judiciary committees
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to the minutes? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: If not, is there a motion to approve them? COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we approve the minutes.	 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity to learn more about that is a good investment. We have one enforcement case left from 2018 that is still pending. I do want to call your attention to the article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I thought it was a great great article, and, obviously, you all know her from her from her being here to keep us informed. On the legislative front, I wanted to call a couple of bills. As I said, right now and this has been historically true. Judiciary committees chaired by Chairman Farnsworth have always met on
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to the minutes? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: If not, is there a motion to approve them? COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER PATON: Second.	 1 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity 2 to learn more about that is a good investment. 3 We have one enforcement case left from 2018 4 that is still pending. 5 I do want to call your attention to the 6 article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in 7 the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, 8 asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I 9 thought it was a great great article, and, 10 obviously, you all know her from her from her being 11 here to keep us informed. 12 On the legislative front, I wanted to call 13 a couple of bills. As I said, right now and this 14 has been historically true. Judiciary committees 15 chaired by Chairman Farnsworth have always met on 16 Thursday mornings, and so when he went from the House
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to the minutes? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: If not, is there a motion to approve them? COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER PATON: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved and	 1 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity 2 to learn more about that is a good investment. 3 We have one enforcement case left from 2018 4 that is still pending. 5 I do want to call your attention to the 6 article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in 7 the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, 8 asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I 9 thought it was a great great article, and, 10 obviously, you all know her from her from her being 11 here to keep us informed. 12 On the legislative front, I wanted to call 13 a couple of bills. As I said, right now and this 14 has been historically true. Judiciary committees 15 chaired by Chairman Farnsworth have always met on 16 Thursday mornings, and so when he went from the House 17 to the Senate, he kept the same schedule. So we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to the minutes? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: If not, is there a motion to approve them? COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER PATON: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved and seconded that we approve the minutes for the meeting of	 1 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity 2 to learn more about that is a good investment. 3 We have one enforcement case left from 2018 4 that is still pending. 5 I do want to call your attention to the 6 article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in 7 the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, 8 asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I 9 thought it was a great great article, and, 10 obviously, you all know her from her from her being 11 here to keep us informed. 12 On the legislative front, I wanted to call 13 a couple of bills. As I said, right now and this 14 has been historically true. Judiciary committees 15 chaired by Chairman Farnsworth have always met on 16 Thursday mornings, and so when he went from the House 17 to the Senate, he kept the same schedule. So we 18 will it's unlikely we will be available to testify
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to the minutes? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: If not, is there a motion to approve them? COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER PATON: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved and seconded that we approve the minutes for the meeting of March 4th, 2019.	 1 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity 2 to learn more about that is a good investment. 3 We have one enforcement case left from 2018 4 that is still pending. 5 I do want to call your attention to the 6 article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in 7 the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, 8 asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I 9 thought it was a great great article, and, 10 obviously, you all know her from her from her being 11 here to keep us informed. 12 On the legislative front, I wanted to call 13 a couple of bills. As I said, right now and this 14 has been historically true. Judiciary committees 15 chaired by Chairman Farnsworth have always met on 16 Thursday mornings, and so when he went from the House 17 to the Senate, he kept the same schedule. So we 18 will it's unlikely we will be available to testify 19 on House Bill 2724, which is which is in committee
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to the minutes? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: If not, is there a motion to approve them? COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER PATON: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved and seconded that we approve the minutes for the meeting of March 4th, 2019. All those in favor say aye.	 1 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity 2 to learn more about that is a good investment. 3 We have one enforcement case left from 2018 4 that is still pending. 5 I do want to call your attention to the 6 article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in 7 the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, 8 asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I 9 thought it was a great great article, and, 10 obviously, you all know her from her from her being 11 here to keep us informed. 12 On the legislative front, I wanted to call 13 a couple of bills. As I said, right now and this 14 has been historically true. Judiciary committees 15 chaired by Chairman Farnsworth have always met on 16 Thursday mornings, and so when he went from the House 17 to the Senate, he kept the same schedule. So we 18 will it's unlikely we will be available to testify 19 on House Bill 2724, which is which is in committee 20 today, basically, while we're here.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to the minutes? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: If not, is there a motion to approve them? COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER PATON: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved and seconded that we approve the minutes for the meeting of March 4th, 2019. All those in favor say aye. (Chorus of ayes.)	 1 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity 2 to learn more about that is a good investment. 3 We have one enforcement case left from 2018 4 that is still pending. 5 I do want to call your attention to the 6 article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in 7 the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, 8 asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I 9 thought it was a great great article, and, 10 obviously, you all know her from her from her being 11 here to keep us informed. 12 On the legislative front, I wanted to call 13 a couple of bills. As I said, right now and this 14 has been historically true. Judiciary committees 15 chaired by Chairman Farnsworth have always met on 16 Thursday mornings, and so when he went from the House 17 to the Senate, he kept the same schedule. So we 18 will it's unlikely we will be available to testify 19 on House Bill 2724, which is which is in committee 20 today, basically, while we're here. 21 However, we did send a letter to Chairman
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to the minutes? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: If not, is there a motion to approve them? COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER PATON: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved and seconded that we approve the minutes for the meeting of March 4th, 2019. All those in favor say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any opposed?	 1 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity 2 to learn more about that is a good investment. 3 We have one enforcement case left from 2018 4 that is still pending. 5 I do want to call your attention to the 6 article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in 7 the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, 8 asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I 9 thought it was a great great article, and, 10 obviously, you all know her from her from her being 11 here to keep us informed. 12 On the legislative front, I wanted to call 13 a couple of bills. As I said, right now and this 14 has been historically true. Judiciary committees 15 chaired by Chairman Farnsworth have always met on 16 Thursday mornings, and so when he went from the House 17 to the Senate, he kept the same schedule. So we 18 will it's unlikely we will be available to testify 19 on House Bill 2724, which is which is in committee 20 today, basically, while we're here. 21 However, we did send a letter to Chairman 22 Farnsworth and the committee. A similar letter was
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I'm calling to order the Citizens Clean Election meeting of Thursday, March 28th, 2019. Item Number II, discussion and possible action on Commission meeting minutes for March 4th, 2019. Are there any additions or corrections to the minutes? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: If not, is there a motion to approve them? COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we approve the minutes. COMMISSIONER PATON: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved and seconded that we approve the minutes for the meeting of March 4th, 2019. All those in favor say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any opposed? (No response.)	 1 guidance on social media. So I think the opportunity 2 to learn more about that is a good investment. 3 We have one enforcement case left from 2018 4 that is still pending. 5 I do want to call your attention to the 6 article on Rivko Knox, who is, I think, right now in 7 the Senate Judiciary Committee, among other things, 8 asking them probably to vote down House Bill 2724. I 9 thought it was a great great article, and, 10 obviously, you all know her from her from her being 11 here to keep us informed. 12 On the legislative front, I wanted to call 13 a couple of bills. As I said, right now and this 14 has been historically true. Judiciary committees 15 chaired by Chairman Farnsworth have always met on 16 Thursday mornings, and so when he went from the House 17 to the Senate, he kept the same schedule. So we 18 will it's unlikely we will be available to testify 19 on House Bill 2724, which is which is in committee 20 today, basically, while we're here. 21 However, we did send a letter to Chairman 22 Farnsworth and the committee members outlining some of

Citizens Clean Elections Commission	March 28, 2019
09:35:48-09:37:20 Page 6	09:38:38-09:39:40 Page 8
1 measure has a House sponsor, but he's, sort of, a	1 MR. COLLINS: Well, the committee, I
2 sponsor in name only. The people the person behind	2 would we if we had thought the committee was
3 this bill has as a lobbyist has been, sort of,	3 likely to vote this down, we probably would have
4 pushing this notion that Prop 306 somehow requires	4 figured you know, decided to make up you know,
5 additional legislation, and then his proposed	5 get somebody there just you know; however, this
6 legislation radically alters the terms of Prop 306.	6 committee is not designed that way.
7 So both of those propositions are incorrect	7 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay.
8 legally. Prop 306, there's nothing required to execute	8 MR. COLLINS: And, I mean, very
9 it, and nor did it create a, sort of, black hole into	9 particularly, in the House, it didn't even go to the
10 which the legislature can put whatever it wants on to	10 House Elections Committee, I think, because they didn't
11 the Commission via Title 41. I mean, if I mean, it	11 think that assumed that the folks who put this
12 doesn't even stand to reason because why would they	12 together didn't think the Elections Committee was
13 have needed to use Title 41 when they could have just	13 reliable and they sent it to a different committee
14 thrown something in Title 16 or Title 36 or Title	14 altogether.
15 you know, Title 13. There's no it just doesn't	15 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So do you have the
16 make it doesn't make a lot of sense.	16 feeling that the Senate attorneys will give the same
17 It also vitiates or attempts to vitiate the	17 advice that the House attorneys did about the VPA
18 Commission's authority over enforcement, which we know	18 issue?
19 is expressed in parts of the Act that were not part of	19 MR. COLLINS: I don't know. I will tell
20 Prop 306, and we know from Judge Palmer's ruling, or	20 you that the Senate Rules attorney is GRRC was
21 integral of the Act, that it violates the VPA to try to	21 GRRC's staff attorney throughout the last five years.
22 damage them. And it also ignores what the voters were	22 So it remains to be seen whether or not I mean, I
23 told in Prop 306 which was that it was about rules and	23 don't know. So we've had a lot of conversations and we
24 rule-making and and actually tries to import25 elements of Title 41 that only apply to Title 32 into	24 have a very cordial relationship and we have a very, I25 think, good rapport around talking about the law. You
25 clements of Thie 41 that only appry to Thie 52 into	23 unik, good tapport around taking about the law. Tou
09:37:24-09:38:36 Page 7	09:39:43-09:41:00 Page 9
1 the Clean Elections Act.	1 know, whether or not, you know he will be persuaded or
 the Clean Elections Act. And so it's a very it's a very 	1 know, whether or not, you know he will be persuaded or2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want
2 And so it's a very it's a very	2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him.
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The 	 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to him. Finally you know, and then it would go
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that 	 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to him. Finally you know, and then it would go to if it's unamended, it will go it would and
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the 	 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to him. Finally you know, and then it would go to if it's unamended, it will go it would and it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act similarly. 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if 11 it's now, on the other hand, if it's amended, it
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act similarly. So we'll see what the what the future 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if 11 it's now, on the other hand, if it's amended, it 12 goes back to the House. So, you know, we'll see. I
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act similarly. So we'll see what the what the future brings, I mean, but the bottom line is that, from a 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if 11 it's now, on the other hand, if it's amended, it 12 goes back to the House. So, you know, we'll see. I 13 mean, the last whatever however many years, we've
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act similarly. So we'll see what the what the future brings, I mean, but the bottom line is that, from a legal perspective, even I mean, regardless of 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if 11 it's now, on the other hand, if it's amended, it 12 goes back to the House. So, you know, we'll see. I 13 mean, the last whatever however many years, we've 14 been the Clean Elections Commission has been the
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act similarly. So we'll see what the what the future brings, I mean, but the bottom line is that, from a legal perspective, even I mean, regardless of politics, this Act can't be squared with the vote of 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if 11 it's now, on the other hand, if it's amended, it 12 goes back to the House. So, you know, we'll see. I 13 mean, the last whatever however many years, we've 14 been the Clean Elections Commission has been the 15 last bill voted on and it's always been a squeaker,
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act similarly. So we'll see what the what the future brings, I mean, but the bottom line is that, from a legal perspective, even I mean, regardless of politics, this Act can't be squared with the vote of the people in 2018 or the vote of the people in 1998. 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if 11 it's now, on the other hand, if it's amended, it 12 goes back to the House. So, you know, we'll see. I 13 mean, the last whatever however many years, we've 14 been the Clean Elections Commission has been the 15 last bill voted on and it's always been a squeaker, 16 even even last year. Even though the bill that went
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act similarly. So we'll see what the what the future brings, I mean, but the bottom line is that, from a legal perspective, even I mean, regardless of politics, this Act can't be squared with the vote of the people in 2018 or the vote of the people in 1998. So that's 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if 11 it's now, on the other hand, if it's amended, it 12 goes back to the House. So, you know, we'll see. I 13 mean, the last whatever however many years, we've 14 been the Clean Elections Commission has been the 15 last bill voted on and it's always been a squeaker, 16 even even last year. Even though the bill that went 17 on the ballot went out, it still was a squeaker.
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act similarly. So we'll see what the what the future brings, I mean, but the bottom line is that, from a legal perspective, even I mean, regardless of politics, this Act can't be squared with the vote of the people in 2018 or the vote of the people in 1998. So that's CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Mr. Collins, can I 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if 11 it's now, on the other hand, if it's amended, it 12 goes back to the House. So, you know, we'll see. I 13 mean, the last whatever however many years, we've 14 been the Clean Elections Commission has been the 15 last bill voted on and it's always been a squeaker, 16 even even last year. Even though the bill that went 17 on the ballot went out, it still was a squeaker. 18 And so it's always it's always and
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act similarly. So we'll see what the what the future brings, I mean, but the bottom line is that, from a legal perspective, even I mean, regardless of politics, this Act can't be squared with the vote of the people in 2018 or the vote of the people in 1998. So that's CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Mr. Collins, can I just stop you for a minute? 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if 11 it's now, on the other hand, if it's amended, it 12 goes back to the House. So, you know, we'll see. I 13 mean, the last whatever however many years, we've 14 been the Clean Elections Commission has been the 15 last bill voted on and it's always been a squeaker, 16 even even last year. Even though the bill that went 17 on the ballot went out, it still was a squeaker. 18 And so it's always it's always and 19 for some reason, the folks who back this stuff think
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act similarly. So we'll see what the what the future brings, I mean, but the bottom line is that, from a legal perspective, even I mean, regardless of politics, this Act can't be squared with the vote of the people in 2018 or the vote of the people in 1998. So that's CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Mr. Collins, can I just stop you for a minute? MR. COLLINS: Sure. 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if 11 it's now, on the other hand, if it's amended, it 12 goes back to the House. So, you know, we'll see. I 13 mean, the last whatever however many years, we've 14 been the Clean Elections Commission has been the 15 last bill voted on and it's always been a squeaker, 16 even even last year. Even though the bill that went 17 on the ballot went out, it still was a squeaker. 18 And so it's always it's always and 19 for some reason, the folks who back this stuff think 20 that it's cute to, you know, roll out the anti-Clean
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act similarly. So we'll see what the what the future brings, I mean, but the bottom line is that, from a legal perspective, even I mean, regardless of politics, this Act can't be squared with the vote of the people in 2018 or the vote of the people in 1998. So that's CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Mr. Collins, can I just stop you for a minute? MR. COLLINS: Sure. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So this is now before the 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if 11 it's now, on the other hand, if it's amended, it 12 goes back to the House. So, you know, we'll see. I 13 mean, the last whatever however many years, we've 14 been the Clean Elections Commission has been the 15 last bill voted on and it's always been a squeaker, 16 even even last year. Even though the bill that went 17 on the ballot went out, it still was a squeaker. 18 And so it's always it's always and 19 for some reason, the folks who back this stuff think 20 that it's cute to, you know, roll out the anti-Clean 21 Elections bills at 3:00 o'clock in the morning on
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act similarly. So we'll see what the what the future brings, I mean, but the bottom line is that, from a legal perspective, even I mean, regardless of politics, this Act can't be squared with the vote of the people in 2018 or the vote of the people in 1998. So that's CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Mr. Collins, can I just stop you for a minute? MR. COLLINS: Sure. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So this is now before the Senate Judiciary. 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if 11 it's now, on the other hand, if it's amended, it 12 goes back to the House. So, you know, we'll see. I 13 mean, the last whatever however many years, we've 14 been the Clean Elections Commission has been the 15 last bill voted on and it's always been a squeaker, 16 even even last year. Even though the bill that went 17 on the ballot went out, it still was a squeaker. 18 And so it's always it's always and 19 for some reason, the folks who back this stuff think 20 that it's cute to, you know, roll out the anti-Clean 21 Elections bills at 3:00 o'clock in the morning on 22 Sunday night, which I think is always always a
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act similarly. So we'll see what the what the future brings, I mean, but the bottom line is that, from a legal perspective, even I mean, regardless of politics, this Act can't be squared with the vote of the people in 2018 or the vote of the people in 1998. So that's CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Mr. Collins, can I just stop you for a minute? MR. COLLINS: Sure. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So this is now before the 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if 11 it's now, on the other hand, if it's amended, it 12 goes back to the House. So, you know, we'll see. I 13 mean, the last whatever however many years, we've 14 been the Clean Elections Commission has been the 15 last bill voted on and it's always been a squeaker, 16 even even last year. Even though the bill that went 17 on the ballot went out, it still was a squeaker. 18 And so it's always it's always and 19 for some reason, the folks who back this stuff think 20 that it's cute to, you know, roll out the anti-Clean 21 Elections bills at 3:00 o'clock in the morning on
 And so it's a very it's a very strange strangely constructed thing. The House rules attorneys, I'll point out, did tell the members that the bill need required what they call a VPA clause or Voter Protection Act clause which says you need a three-quarter vote to enact this. The leadership in the in the House decided that that was to ignore the Rules attorney's advice on the Prop 105 issue. We will see if the Senate will act similarly. So we'll see what the what the future brings, I mean, but the bottom line is that, from a legal perspective, even I mean, regardless of politics, this Act can't be squared with the vote of the people in 2018 or the vote of the people in 1998. So that's CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Mr. Collins, can I just stop you for a minute? MR. COLLINS: Sure. MR. COLLINS: Right. 	 2 not, I wouldn't want to predict. And I wouldn't want 3 to put him in that kind of I mean, I just don't 4 know. I don't know. He needs to evaluate the 5 question, and I'm sure it hasn't yet been presented to 6 him. 7 Finally you know, and then it would go 8 to if it's unamended, it will go it would and 9 it passes the Senate, the main body of the Senate, it 10 would go straight to the governor. So I think that if 11 it's now, on the other hand, if it's amended, it 12 goes back to the House. So, you know, we'll see. I 13 mean, the last whatever however many years, we've 14 been the Clean Elections Commission has been the 15 last bill voted on and it's always been a squeaker, 16 even even last year. Even though the bill that went 17 on the ballot went out, it still was a squeaker. 18 And so it's always it's always and 19 for some reason, the folks who back this stuff think 20 that it's cute to, you know, roll out the anti-Clean 21 Elections bills at 3:00 o'clock in the morning on 22 Sunday night, which I think is always always a 23 fun a fun little, I guess, joke they like to play.

Citi	zens Clean Elections Commission		March 28, 2019
09:4	11:05-09:42:15 Page 10	09:	43:27-09:44:48 Page 12
2 3 4	you or Mike, let us know as it goes along every step MR. COLLINS: Sure. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: that this happened? Because this is certainly a matter of interest, I think, to all of us. MR. COLLINS: Sure. Absolutely. And	3 4 5	you want to highlight, Tom?
	you know, and I think I mean, the reality is that,		a bill to change the primary date. There's a bill to
8 9 10 11 12 13	just to you know, we have you know, before their staff attorney left, we had had some very good as I think I said in my executive director's report a couple of months ago, we said we had very good meetings with them. We had gotten we, at least, figured out what we thought the issues with 306 would be or could be, and we were hoping to work towards some kind of common	8 9 10 11 12 13	change how the permanent early voter list works, and then the governor signed a bill, I think, last week and I'm not sure oh, that requires now will require aligned early voting places with where you vote in person with regular polling places and the requirement that you show I.D.
		14	and that's on page 8 of the report. The governor
15 16	goal. With the personnel changes and stuff, we		signed that on I think, on Monday, I want to say.
17	haven't had a chance to restart those yet, but I don't, you know, like at this point, anticipate there,	17	So so that's those that's it. I mean, that's really those are the things we're keeping track of,
	necessarily, a conflict over 306 itself. You know, and		but not all of them are things that we're necessarily
20	even if this passes, I mean, there's again,	20	pushing any kind of agenda with.
	there's you know, there's, you know you know, I	21	
	think the single biggest issue will be will be two		mention, SB 1188, the permanent early voter list one.
	things. It will be enforcement and, obviously, if they get into other areas of the Act that they haven't	23 24	
	gotten into, but, you know, those will raise their own		that at all?
09:4	42:20-09:43:27 Page 1	09:	44:48-09:46:19 Page 13
	12:20-09:43:27 Page 1 ⁻ issues.	09:	
		1	
1 2 3	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says	1 2 3	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or
1 2 3 4	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not	1 2 3 4	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't,
1 2 3 4 5	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent.	1 2 3 4 5	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's
1 2 3 4 5 6	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right.	1 2 3 4 5 6	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a non-party to that proceeding could go to GRRC and order	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected. You know, from from my perspective, I
1 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a non-party to that proceeding could go to GRRC and order us to reopen the enforcement. And that has due process	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected. You know, from from my perspective, I think it's a it raises some problems, but on the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a non-party to that proceeding could go to GRRC and order us to reopen the enforcement. And that has due process implications because the statute they're amending	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected. You know, from from my perspective, I think it's a it raises some problems, but on the other hand, the permanent early the permanent early
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a non-party to that proceeding could go to GRRC and order us to reopen the enforcement. And that has due process implications because the statute they're amending literally says that an order from GRRC on that issue is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected. You know, from from my perspective, I think it's a it raises some problems, but on the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a non-party to that proceeding could go to GRRC and order us to reopen the enforcement. And that has due process implications because the statute they're amending	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected. You know, from from my perspective, I think it's a it raises some problems, but on the other hand, the permanent early the permanent early voter list, you know, is only so permanent in the first
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a non-party to that proceeding could go to GRRC and order us to reopen the enforcement. And that has due process implications because the statute they're amending literally says that an order from GRRC on that issue is unappealable to the judiciary. So strangers to a proceeding can come to GRRC and say reopen this; we don't like what you did here, we would be ordered to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected. You know, from from my perspective, I think it's a it raises some problems, but on the other hand, the permanent early the permanent early voter list, you know, is only so permanent in the first place. In other words, if you move counties, you have to reregister. So it's not permanent in that sense. If you if you are if you receive more than a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a non-party to that proceeding could go to GRRC and order us to reopen the enforcement. And that has due process implications because the statute they're amending literally says that an order from GRRC on that issue is unappealable to the judiciary. So strangers to a proceeding can come to GRRC and say reopen this; we don't like what you did here, we would be ordered to reopen it and no one will be able to appeal that. And	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected. You know, from from my perspective, I think it's a it raises some problems, but on the other hand, the permanent early the permanent early voter list, you know, is only so permanent in the first place. In other words, if you move counties, you have to reregister. So it's not permanent in that sense. If you if you are if you receive more than a certain number of mailings for regular voting material,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a non-party to that proceeding could go to GRRC and order us to reopen the enforcement. And that has due process implications because the statute they're amending literally says that an order from GRRC on that issue is unappealable to the judiciary. So strangers to a proceeding can come to GRRC and say reopen this; we don't like what you did here, we would be ordered to reopen it and no one will be able to appeal that. And that's that's I'm not kidding.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected. You know, from from my perspective, I think it's a it raises some problems, but on the other hand, the permanent early the permanent early voter list, you know, is only so permanent in the first place. In other words, if you move counties, you have to reregister. So it's not permanent in that sense. If you if you are if you receive more than a certain number of mailings for regular voting material, you can be put on the inactive list as a ballot as a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a non-party to that proceeding could go to GRRC and order us to reopen the enforcement. And that has due process implications because the statute they're amending literally says that an order from GRRC on that issue is unappealable to the judiciary. So strangers to a proceeding can come to GRRC and say reopen this; we don't like what you did here, we would be ordered to reopen it and no one will be able to appeal that. And that's that's I'm not kidding. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected. You know, from from my perspective, I think it's a it raises some problems, but on the other hand, the permanent early the permanent early voter list, you know, is only so permanent in the first place. In other words, if you move counties, you have to reregister. So it's not permanent in that sense. If you if you are if you receive more than a certain number of mailings for regular voting material, you can be put on the inactive list as a ballot as a voter, I think.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a non-party to that proceeding could go to GRRC and order us to reopen the enforcement. And that has due process implications because the statute they're amending literally says that an order from GRRC on that issue is unappealable to the judiciary. So strangers to a proceeding can come to GRRC and say reopen this; we don't like what you did here, we would be ordered to reopen it and no one will be able to appeal that. And that's that's I'm not kidding. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. MR. COLLINS: But that's that can't be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected. You know, from from my perspective, I think it's a it raises some problems, but on the other hand, the permanent early the permanent early voter list, you know, is only so permanent in the first place. In other words, if you move counties, you have to reregister. So it's not permanent in that sense. If you if you are if you receive more than a certain number of mailings for regular voting material, you can be put on the inactive list as a ballot as a voter, I think. And Joe and Kara, correct me if I'm wrong,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a non-party to that proceeding could go to GRRC and order us to reopen the enforcement. And that has due process implications because the statute they're amending literally says that an order from GRRC on that issue is unappealable to the judiciary. So strangers to a proceeding can come to GRRC and say reopen this; we don't like what you did here, we would be ordered to reopen it and no one will be able to appeal that. And that's that's I'm not kidding. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. MR. COLLINS: But that's that can't be the law. And we would lose the defense of that on due	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected. You know, from from my perspective, I think it's a it raises some problems, but on the other hand, the permanent early the permanent early voter list, you know, is only so permanent in the first place. In other words, if you move counties, you have to reregister. So it's not permanent in that sense. If you if you are if you receive more than a certain number of mailings for regular voting material, you can be put on the inactive list as a ballot as a voter, I think. And Joe and Kara, correct me if I'm wrong, but I think if you're inactive, you're not going to get
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a non-party to that proceeding could go to GRRC and order us to reopen the enforcement. And that has due process implications because the statute they're amending literally says that an order from GRRC on that issue is unappealable to the judiciary. So strangers to a proceeding can come to GRRC and say reopen this; we don't like what you did here, we would be ordered to reopen it and no one will be able to appeal that. And that's that's I'm not kidding. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. MR. COLLINS: But that's that can't be the law. And we would lose the defense of that on due process.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected. You know, from from my perspective, I think it's a it raises some problems, but on the other hand, the permanent early the permanent early voter list, you know, is only so permanent in the first place. In other words, if you move counties, you have to reregister. So it's not permanent in that sense. If you if you are if you receive more than a certain number of mailings for regular voting material, you can be put on the inactive list as a ballot as a voter, I think. And Joe and Kara, correct me if I'm wrong, but I think if you're inactive, you're not going to get an early ballot as a PEVL voter, correct?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a non-party to that proceeding could go to GRRC and order us to reopen the enforcement. And that has due process implications because the statute they're amending literally says that an order from GRRC on that issue is unappealable to the judiciary. So strangers to a proceeding can come to GRRC and say reopen this; we don't like what you did here, we would be ordered to reopen it and no one will be able to appeal that. And that's that's I'm not kidding. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. MR. COLLINS: But that's that can't be the law. And we would lose the defense of that on due	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected. You know, from from my perspective, I think it's a it raises some problems, but on the other hand, the permanent early the permanent early voter list, you know, is only so permanent in the first place. In other words, if you move counties, you have to reregister. So it's not permanent in that sense. If you if you are if you receive more than a certain number of mailings for regular voting material, you can be put on the inactive list as a ballot as a voter, I think. And Joe and Kara, correct me if I'm wrong, but I think if you're inactive, you're not going to get an early ballot as a PEVL voter, correct? MS. KARLSON: I believe that's correct.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	issues. You know, if you can for example, if you can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, it just says anybody can go to GRRC on an enforcement matter, not the respondent. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Right. MR. COLLINS: So, from a practical matter, if I don't if I if I don't like you, Respondent, and the Commission were to vote to let you off, a non-party to that proceeding could go to GRRC and order us to reopen the enforcement. And that has due process implications because the statute they're amending literally says that an order from GRRC on that issue is unappealable to the judiciary. So strangers to a proceeding can come to GRRC and say reopen this; we don't like what you did here, we would be ordered to reopen it and no one will be able to appeal that. And that's that's I'm not kidding. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. MR. COLLINS: But that's that can't be the law. And we would lose the defense of that on due process. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Do any other Commission	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. COLLINS: We haven't. We you know, right now it passed out of the out of the House Elections Committee, I want to say, Tuesday or Tuesday, right? I think it was Tuesday. We haven't, and part of the part of the issue there is it's from you know, you've got some discrepancies between the counties and the Secretary's office and some of the more active voter rights groups about how many people are affected. You know, from from my perspective, I think it's a it raises some problems, but on the other hand, the permanent early the permanent early voter list, you know, is only so permanent in the first place. In other words, if you move counties, you have to reregister. So it's not permanent in that sense. If you if you are if you receive more than a certain number of mailings for regular voting material, you can be put on the inactive list as a ballot as a voter, I think. And Joe and Kara, correct me if I'm wrong, but I think if you're inactive, you're not going to get an early ballot as a PEVL voter, correct? MS. KARLSON: I believe that's correct.

Citi	izens Clean Elections Commission	March 28, 2019
09:	46:20-09:47:41 Page 14	09:48:57-09:50:00 Page 16
1	outside of our outside of our wheelhouse. On the	1 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Yeah.
	other hand, I mean, we are supposed to promote	2 MR. COLLINS: So so I don't, you know,
	participation, but on the other hand, you know, it's	3 again, you know, the County Recorders, I think, are
	there are some accountability issues here.	4 opposed to it, and so we'll see. I mean, you know
5		5 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay.
6	policy problem is, you know, for many years, certainly	6 MR. COLLINS: how much priority it gets,
7	since early voting and as early voting has expanded or	7 but anyway, that's where we are. That's where we ended
8	mail voting has expanded, you know, the counties'	8 up. We did not take a position on it.
9	biggest issue has been what we call what is called	9 COMMISSIONER MEYER: 1046?
	late earlies, which is when you vote your early ballot	10 MR. COLLINS: 1188. 2146 2146.
11	but then bring it on election day.	11 COMMISSIONER MEYER: 1046 is the early
12	1 5 8	12 mailing
	that Senator Ugenti-Rita is making is, basically, look,	13 MR. COLLINS: That one went away. That
	if we want to cut that time down, you know, if you're	14 bill that bill never reached the Senate floor
	not using the permanent early voter list consistently,	15 because there weren't the votes for it.
	then your permanent your mailing ballot	16 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Thank you.
	consistently, then maybe you need to think about	17 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other questions on
	whether or not you want to receive this. And that	18 the executive director's report?
	would and that would overall now, that does	19 (No response.)
	result in some number of people will drop off based on	20 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you.
	this policy. How many is where the question lies.	21 Item IV, discussion and possible action on 22 final audit approval for the following 2018 Congrel
22	So but from a voter education perspective, it's you know, it's not an unsolvable	22 final audit approval for the following 2018 General23 Election participating candidates. There's a list of
	problem, I think. I mean, I think you just have to	23 Election participating candidates. There's a list of24 23 candidates that from the agenda that will be made
	you know, folks who are using it consistently, it's not	25 part of the record.
	you know, tonks who are using it consistently, it's not	
09:	47:45-09:48:56 Page 15	09:50:02-09:51:24 Page 17
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
1	47:45-09:48:56 Page 15 going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be,	
1 2	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using	1 Tom, is there anything you want to say
1 2 3	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be,	1 Tom, is there anything you want to say2 about these audits?
1 2 3 4	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to,
1 2 3 4 5	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know.	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is independent voters have to request their early ballot,	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we made that you all made in view of the one of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is independent voters have to request their early ballot, even if they're on the PEVL, on account of the fact	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we made that you all made in view of the one of the cases we had with a candidate to tighten up the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is independent voters have to request their early ballot, even if they're on the PEVL, on account of the fact that they have to pick a party for purposes of the	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we made that you all made in view of the one of the cases we had with a candidate to tighten up the process.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is independent voters have to request their early ballot, even if they're on the PEVL, on account of the fact that they have to pick a party for purposes of the primary. So, you know, that's that's really	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we made that you all made in view of the one of the cases we had with a candidate to tighten up the process. I think the good thing on that is we have
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is independent voters have to request their early ballot, even if they're on the PEVL, on account of the fact that they have to pick a party for purposes of the primary. So, you know, that's that's really probably where the rubber meets the road, and I don't	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we made that you all made in view of the one of the cases we had with a candidate to tighten up the process. I think the good thing on that is we have some confidence that there is you know, we didn't
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is independent voters have to request their early ballot, even if they're on the PEVL, on account of the fact that they have to pick a party for purposes of the primary. So, you know, that's that's really probably where the rubber meets the road, and I don't know enough about the law in this area to know if	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we made that you all made in view of the one of the cases we had with a candidate to tighten up the process. I think the good thing on that is we have some confidence that there is you know, we didn't we did not find the kind of major issues that came up
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is independent voters have to request their early ballot, even if they're on the PEVL, on account of the fact that they have to pick a party for purposes of the primary. So, you know, that's that's really probably where the rubber meets the road, and I don't know enough about the law in this area to know if that if that if that amounts to any kind of, you	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we made that you all made in view of the one of the cases we had with a candidate to tighten up the process. I think the good thing on that is we have some confidence that there is you know, we didn't we did not find the kind of major issues that came up in that random audit. You know, I think that I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is independent voters have to request their early ballot, even if they're on the PEVL, on account of the fact that they have to pick a party for purposes of the primary. So, you know, that's that's really probably where the rubber meets the road, and I don't know enough about the law in this area to know if that if that if that amounts to any kind of, you know, legal issue or not.	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we made that you all made in view of the one of the cases we had with a candidate to tighten up the process. I think the good thing on that is we have some confidence that there is you know, we didn't we did not find the kind of major issues that came up in that random audit. You know, I think that I think that and we think we talked last month that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is independent voters have to request their early ballot, even if they're on the PEVL, on account of the fact that they have to pick a party for purposes of the primary. So, you know, that's that's really probably where the rubber meets the road, and I don't know enough about the law in this area to know if that if that if that amounts to any kind of, you know, legal issue or not. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Well, it means that the	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we made that you all made in view of the one of the cases we had with a candidate to tighten up the process. I think the good thing on that is we have some confidence that there is you know, we didn't we did not find the kind of major issues that came up in that random audit. You know, I think that I think that and we think we talked last month that this process has actually gone as fast or faster than
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is independent voters have to request their early ballot, even if they're on the PEVL, on account of the fact that they have to pick a party for purposes of the primary. So, you know, that's that's really probably where the rubber meets the road, and I don't know enough about the law in this area to know if that if that if that amounts to any kind of, you know, legal issue or not.	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we made that you all made in view of the one of the cases we had with a candidate to tighten up the process. I think the good thing on that is we have some confidence that there is you know, we didn't we did not find the kind of major issues that came up in that random audit. You know, I think that I think that and we think we talked last month that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is independent voters have to request their early ballot, even if they're on the PEVL, on account of the fact that they have to pick a party for purposes of the primary. So, you know, that's that's really probably where the rubber meets the road, and I don't know enough about the law in this area to know if that if that if that amounts to any kind of, you know, legal issue or not. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Well, it means that the Independents only have to miss two elections to be	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we made that you all made in view of the one of the cases we had with a candidate to tighten up the process. I think the good thing on that is we have some confidence that there is you know, we didn't we did not find the kind of major issues that came up in that random audit. You know, I think that I think that and we think we talked last month that this process has actually gone as fast or faster than when we just did the random audits. And so, you know,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is independent voters have to request their early ballot, even if they're on the PEVL, on account of the fact that they have to pick a party for purposes of the primary. So, you know, that's that's really probably where the rubber meets the road, and I don't know enough about the law in this area to know if that if that if that amounts to any kind of, you know, legal issue or not. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Well, it means that the Independents only have to miss two elections to be taken off the permanent, as opposed to Democrats and Republicans and Libertarians who have to miss four.	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we made that you all made in view of the one of the cases we had with a candidate to tighten up the process. I think the good thing on that is we have some confidence that there is you know, we didn't we did not find the kind of major issues that came up in that random audit. You know, I think that I think that and we think we talked last month that this process has actually gone as fast or faster than when we just did the random audits. And so, you know,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is independent voters have to request their early ballot, even if they're on the PEVL, on account of the fact that they have to pick a party for purposes of the primary. So, you know, that's that's really probably where the rubber meets the road, and I don't know enough about the law in this area to know if that if that if that amounts to any kind of, you know, legal issue or not. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Well, it means that the Independents only have to miss two elections to be taken off the permanent, as opposed to Democrats and Republicans and Libertarians who have to miss four.	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we made that you all made in view of the one of the cases we had with a candidate to tighten up the process. I think the good thing on that is we have some confidence that there is you know, we didn't we did not find the kind of major issues that came up in that random audit. You know, I think that I think that and we think we talked last month that this process has actually gone as fast or faster than when we just did the random audits. And so, you know, Mike and Paula have really done good work on that.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	going to affect them at all and folks who are not using it consistently, I mean, they're going to need to be, you know, reminded of that. And I think that everyone will agree about the notion that we need to that folks need to be informed about it. I mean, you know, so I I don't know. The last thing I'll say, if I could, just to give you the last piece of the policy puzzle, I think the biggest problem that there is and this is what some of the County Recorders have observed is independent voters have to request their early ballot, even if they're on the PEVL, on account of the fact that they have to pick a party for purposes of the primary. So, you know, that's that's really probably where the rubber meets the road, and I don't know enough about the law in this area to know if that if that if that amounts to any kind of, you know, legal issue or not. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Well, it means that the Independents only have to miss two elections to be taken off the permanent, as opposed to Democrats and Republicans and Libertarians who have to miss four. MR. COLLINS: I think that's I think	 Tom, is there anything you want to say about these audits? MR. COLLINS: I just, you know, want to, you know, say for the record and it's in the memorandum from Mike that you know, that the auditor's process here is to look at the candidate's bank statements, receipts, records and campaign finance reports. What we try to do is, if the findings are not significant and this year, you know, we audited every candidate which which we was a decision we made that you all made in view of the one of the cases we had with a candidate to tighten up the process. I think the good thing on that is we have some confidence that there is you know, we didn't we did not find the kind of major issues that came up in that random audit. You know, I think that I think that and we think we talked last month that this process has actually gone as fast or faster than when we just did the random audits. And so, you know, Mike and Paula have really done good work on that. And I think, you know, we've heard from some folks that they don't like the idea of more

 if you have random audits, you don't know if you're g oing to end up being audied or not. This way you can c curved a curve you can be ready for it. You can - you can be ready for it. You can - you can be ready for it. You can - you can be ready for it. You can - you can be ready for it. You can - you can be ready for it. You can - you can be ready for it. You can - you can be ready for it. You can - you can be ready for it. You can - you can bay you can say - Sorry. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Paton? COMMISSIONER PATON: So is this of us this that we have - we have not found that be cause we a do not, have card yo a be ye of the model is a hosel for our staff. there today. CIAIRMAN KIMBLE: Control on explore the saturating with the cause when do we have nee very ital to ing randoms. So it hasn't been - it hasn't been - COMMISSIONER PATON: So I would just have a comment that I feel a lot better that - because when we had that big issue, it was so stressful on everybody that sombedy could be so we willy affly. And the fatt. that we had that big issue, it was so stressful on everybody that we had that big issue, it was so stressful on everybody that we had that big issue, it was so stressful on everybody that we had hat big issue, it was so stressful on everybody that we had hat big issue, it was so stressful on everybody there and isse. Form A you, Commissioner So MR, GLIFILLAN: Yes, sir. MR, GLIFILLAN	Citizens Clean Elections Commission	March 28, 2019
2 going to end up being audited or not. This way you can 2 cont on it. You should -you can be ready tor it. 3 count on it. You should -you can be ready tor it. 4 may questions? 4 You can - you can have your stuff prepared and be 5 ready to go. So that's really all 1 have to say 6 corr. 6 sorry. 7 CHARMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner 6 here today. 7 CMARMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for being 9 atom? 9 COMMISSIONER PATON: So is this of us 10 europhysical state of the say of the say on edded to know as far as running 10 additing everybody, is that a big a hassle for our 11 feel really were able to get we've gotten our audits 12 dean candidate? 12 dean candidate were prove we may the toing randoms. So it hasn't 12 been it hasn't been 14 and both chan I feel a lo beter that because when 20 we had that big issue, it was so stressful on everybody 14 and body could be so we weily shally. And the fact 21 that we feel like everybody is aboveboard and 2 everything, I feel really good about that. 22 that we feel like everybody is aboveboard and 2 everything. I feel really good about that. 24 more oth the ColLINS: Thank you, Commissioner 25 Paton. I think - I think people would agree. 9 Mc GLIPILLAN: I was just here to listen. 6 I car- I can talk generally about the process. 1 would note a candidute is here. I don't <th>09:51:29-09:52:36 Page 18</th> <th>09:53:36-09:54:18 Page 20</th>	09:51:29-09:52:36 Page 18	09:53:36-09:54:18 Page 20
 21 that somebody could be so we willy-nilly. And the fact 22 that we feel like everybody is aboveboard and 23 everything, I feel really good about that. 24 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Commissioner 25 Paton. I think I think people would agree. 21 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. 22 COMMISSIONER PATON: Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. 24 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Commissioner 25 Paton. I think I think people would agree. 26 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other comments about 27 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other comments about 28 Paton. I think I think people would agree. 29 MR. GILFILLAN: I was just here to listen. 6 I can I can talk generally about the process. 7 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Could you come up to the 8 microphone, please, and state your name 9 MR. GILFILLAN: Yes, sir. 10 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: - for the record? 11 MR. GILFILLAN: My name is Chris Gilfillan, 12 and I was a Clean Elections candidate for the House of 13 Representatives in LD 20. I was also a 14 non-participatory candidate in 2016. So I have, kind 15 of, experience with both sides. I found the Clean 16 Elections Commission to be very aboveboard and helped 17 me just kind of know what I needed to do, how I needed 18 to do it and gave me, you know, a good view of where 19 what is expected of me as a candidate. 20 And, honestly, I didn't find the audit to 21 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I's ben moved and 	 1 if you have random audits, you don't know if you're 2 going to end up being audited or not. This way you can 3 count on it. You should you can be ready for it. 4 You can you can have your stuff prepared and be 5 ready to go. So that's really all I have to say 6 sorry. 7 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner 8 Paton? 9 COMMISSIONER PATON: So is this of us 10 auditing everybody, is that a big a hassle for our 11 staff? 12 MR. COLLINS: Commissioner Paton, no. I 13 think that we have we have not found that because we 14 actually were able to get we've gotten our audits 15 done, basically, on the same timeline that we got them 16 done when we were just doing randoms. So it hasn't 17 been it hasn't been 18 COMMISSIONER PATON: So I would just have a 19 comment that I feel a lot better that because when 	 MR. GILFILLAN: Sure. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any commissioners have any questions? Commissioner Meyer? COMMISSIONER MEYER: Thank you for being here today. MR. GILFILLAN: Sure. COMMISSIONER MYER: Did you find the training that you received to be comprehensive and everything that you needed to know as far as running clean candidate? MR. GILFILLAN: Yes. I participated in two different trainings with Tom and with legal counsel, and both of them I found to be very helpful. I would also add that the staff at the Clean Elections has been overwhelmingly helpful. If I had a question, I could get it answered very quickly and not worry about what could happen. So, yes, I found the training to be helpful.
 22 that we feel like everybody is aboveboard and 23 everything, I feel really good about that. 24 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Commissioner 25 Paton. I think I think people would agree. 26 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you very much. 27 MR. GILFILLAN: I was just here to listen. 28 microphone, please, and state your name 39 MR. GILFILLAN: Yes, sir. 30 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Could you come up to the 31 microphone, please, and state your name 30 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Could you come up to the 31 mreason? 31 MR. GILFILLAN: Yes, sir. 31 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: - for the record? 31 MR. GILFILLAN: Yes, sir. 31 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: - for the record? 32 COMMISSIONER PATON: Thank you. 33 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Do we have a motion to 7 accept these audits? 4 candidates. 5 (No response.) 6 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Do we have a motion to 7 accept these audits? 31 Representatives in LD 20. I was also a 32 non-participatory candidate in 2016. So I have, kind 33 for experience with both sides. I found the Clean 34 to do it and gave me, you know, a good view of where 34 that is expected of me as a candidate. 35 And, honestly, I didn't find the audit to 36 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved and 		
 23 everything, I feel really good about that. 24 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Commissioner 25 Paton. I think I think people would agree. 26 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other comments about 27 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other comments about 28 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other comments about 29 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other comments about 20 S52:39-09:53:35 20 Page 19 20 S52:39-09:53:35 21 I would note a candidate is here. I don't 2 know if he wants to address Chris, do you want to 3 talk to the Commission about your audit, for some 4 reason? 5 MR. GILFILLAN: I was just here to listen. 6 I can I can talk generally about the process. 7 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Could you come up to the 8 microphone, please, and state your name 9 MR. GILFILLAN: Yes, sir. 10 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: for the record? 11 MR. GILFILLAN: My name is Chris Gilfillan, 12 and I was a Clean Elections candidate for the House of 13 Representatives in LD 20. I was also a 14 non-participatory candidate in 2016. So I have, kind 15 of, experience with both sides. I found the Clean 16 Elections Commission to be very aboveboard and helped 17 me just kind of know what I needed to do, how I needed 18 to do it and gave me, you know, a good view of wher 19 what is expected of me as a candidate. 20 And, honestly, I didn't find the audit to 23 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved and 24 MR. GILFILLAN: End and the audit to 23 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved and 		
 24 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Commissioner 25 Paton. I think I think people would agree. 24 MR. GILFILLAN: Of course. 25 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other comments about 26 OF AIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other comments about 27 OF AIRMAN KIMBLE: Could you want to 3 talk to the Commission about your audit, for some 4 reason? 5 MR. GILFILLAN: I was just here to listen. 6 I can I can talk generally about the process. 7 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Could you come up to the 8 microphone, please, and state your name 9 MR. GILFILLAN: Yes, sir. 10 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: for the record? 11 MR. GILFILLAN: My name is Chris Gilfillan, 12 and I was a Clean Elections candidate for the House of 13 Representatives in LD 20. I was also a 14 non-participatory candidate in 2016. So I have, kind 15 of, experience with both sides. I found the Clean 16 I can diates. 17 me just kind of know what I needed to do, how I needed 18 to do it and gave me, you know, a good view of where 19 what is expected of me as a candidate. 20 And, honestly, I didn't find the audit to 24 MR. GILFILLAN: Of course. 25 (No response.) 31 the process of being addressed for these 23 4 candiates. 32 COMMISSIONER PATON: Second.<td></td><td></td>		
25 Paton. I think I think people would agree.25 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other comments about09:52:39-09:53:35Page 1909:52:39-09:53:35Page 191 I would note a candidate is here. I don't92 know if he wants to address Chris, do you want to1 these audits? The bottom line is that there were no3 talk to the Commission about your audit, for some1 these audits? The bottom line is that there were no3 talk to the Commission about your audit, for some2 significant findings or the findings have been or are3 talk to the Commission about your audit, for some3 in the process of being addressed for these 234 reason?4 candidates.5 MR. GILFILLAN: I was just here to listen.5 (No response.)6 I can I can talk generally about the process.5 (No response.)7 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Could you come up to the8 COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we accept9 MR. GILFILLAN: Yes, sir.9 the audits set forth in our materials10 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: - for the record?11 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Do you need to11 MR. GILFILLAN: My name is Chris Gilfilan,11 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Do you need to12 and I was a Clean Elections candidate for the House of13 Representatives in LD 20. I was also a14 non-participatory candidate in 2016. So I have, kind14 COMMISSIONER MEYER: My apologies. I move15 of, experience with both sides. I found the Clean16 candidates that are set forth in our materials for17 today's meeting.18 COMMISSIONER PATON: And I would second18 to do it and gave me, you know, a good view of where18 COMMISSIONER PATON: And I woul		
09:52:39-09:53:35Page 1909:54:21-09:55:17Page1I would note a candidate is here. I don't1these audits? The bottom line is that there were no2know if he wants to address Chris, do you want to1these audits? The bottom line is that there were no3talk to the Commission about your audit, for some1these audits? The bottom line is that there were no3talk to the Commission about your audit, for some2significant findings or the findings have been or are3in the process of being addressed for these 234candidates.5MR. GILFILLAN: I was just here to listen.5(No response.)6CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Could you come up to the6CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Do we have a motion to7racept these audits?8COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we accept9MR. GILFILLAN: Yes, sir.9the audits set forth in our materials10CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: for the record?10COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we accept11MR. GILFILLAN: My name is Chris Gilfillan,11CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Do you need to12MS. THOMAS: Can you speak into the13microphone?14non-participatory candidate in 2016. So I have, kind14COMMISSIONER MEYER: My apologies. I move15of, experience with both sides. I found the Clean16candidates that are set forth in our materials for17me just kind of know what I needed to do, how I needed17today's meeting.18to do it and gave me, you know, a good v	-	
 I would note a candidate is here. I don't know if he wants to address Chris, do you want to talk to the Commission about your audit, for some treason? I these audits? The bottom line is that there were no significant findings or the findings have been or are in the process of being addressed for these 23 candidates. (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Could you come up to the microphone, please, and state your name MR. GILFILLAN: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: for the record? MR. GILFILLAN: My name is Chris Gilfillan, and I was a Clean Elections candidate for the House of Representatives in LD 20. I was also a I non-participatory candidate in 2016. So I have, kind COMMISSIONER MEYER: My apologies. I move for experience with both sides. I found the Clean Elections Commission to be very aboveboard and helped to do it and gave me, you know, a good view of where what is expected of me as a candidate. And, honestly, I didn't find the audit to 		
 2 know if he wants to address Chris, do you want to 3 talk to the Commission about your audit, for some 4 reason? 5 MR. GILFILLAN: I was just here to listen. 6 I can I can talk generally about the process. 7 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Could you come up to the 8 microphone, please, and state your name 9 MR. GILFILLAN: Yes, sir. 10 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: for the record? 11 MR. GILFILLAN: My name is Chris Gilfillan, 12 and I was a Clean Elections candidate for the House of 13 Representatives in LD 20. I was also a 14 non-participatory candidate in 2016. So I have, kind 15 of, experience with both sides. I found the Clean 16 Elections Commission to be very aboveboard and helped 17 me just kind of know what I needed to do, how I needed 18 to do it and gave me, you know, a good view of where 19 what is expected of me as a candidate. 20 And, honestly, I didn't find the audit to 2 significant findings or the findings have been or are 3 in the process of being addressed for these 23 4 candidates. 2 significant findings or the findings have been or are 3 in the process of being addressed for these 23 4 candidates. 5 (No response.) 6 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: To we have a motion to 7 accept these audits? 8 COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we accept 9 that. 20 CMAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved and 	09:52:39-09:53:35 Page 19	09:54:21-09:55:17 Page 21
 21 be too on-putting. It was something that rearry was 22 dotting I's and crossing T's if you were doing the 23 right thing. 24 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. That's very 24 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Anyone opposed? 	 2 know if he wants to address Chris, do you want to 3 talk to the Commission about your audit, for some 4 reason? 5 MR. GILFILLAN: I was just here to listen. 6 I can I can talk generally about the process. 7 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Could you come up to the 8 microphone, please, and state your name 9 MR. GILFILLAN: Yes, sir. 10 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: for the record? 11 MR. GILFILLAN: My name is Chris Gilfillan, 12 and I was a Clean Elections candidate for the House of 13 Representatives in LD 20. I was also a 14 non-participatory candidate in 2016. So I have, kind 15 of, experience with both sides. I found the Clean 16 Elections Commission to be very aboveboard and helped 17 me just kind of know what I needed to do, how I needed 18 to do it and gave me, you know, a good view of where 19 what is expected of me as a candidate. 20 And, honestly, I didn't find the audit to 21 be too off-putting. It was something that really was 22 dotting I's and crossing T's if you were doing the 23 right thing. 24 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. That's very 	 2 significant findings or the findings have been or are 3 in the process of being addressed for these 23 4 candidates. 5 (No response.) 6 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Do we have a motion to 7 accept these audits? 8 COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we accept 9 the audits set forth in our materials 10 COMMISSIONER PATON: Second. 11 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Do you need to 12 MS. THOMAS: Can you speak into the 13 microphone? 14 COMMISSIONER MEYER: My apologies. I move 15 that we accept the audits for the 2018 General Election 16 candidates that are set forth in our materials for 17 today's meeting. 18 COMMISSIONER PATON: And I would second 19 that. 20 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved and 21 seconded that we accept these audits. 22 All those in favor say aye. 23 (Chorus of ayes.)
	25 helpful.	

00.5			March 28, 2019
09:5	5:18-09:56:26 Page 22	09:	58:16-09:59:42 Page 24
1 2 3 4	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: The audits are accepted. Item V, discussion and possible action on the Commission's 18 in 2018 Voter Education Campaign recognition and PR Week award in the best public sector category. Tom? MR. COLLINS: So CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is Gina here or not? MR. COLLINS: Gina is not here today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know, just because it's California and because, you know you know, and I think that the fact that we're able to identify a message, target the right audience for it and deliver on it without having to go, you know you know, without having to break the bank, I think, is all is all upside and, again, proof of concept that the ideas we are working on in voter education and that Gina and Alec and RIESTER and Paula have brought together, both on the cost side and on the deliverable side, have been have been are
11	MR. COLLINS: But we may we were talking		successful.
12	about this, and we decided to go ahead because of the	12	So I just think I think it's a good
13	timeliness of this, rather than waiting until next	13	thing. I don't mean to go on about it, but and,
	month, although Alec Alex is in the back. So we may		obviously, if Gina were here, I would just you know,
	have to drag him out here.		we're very happy about we're very happy. You know,
16	Yes. So, you know, I know you all saw the		I think everybody in the state, I think, knows, you
	emails and maybe saw the Facebook and Twitter things		know, that Gina runs a very effective and exciting
	that we put out about this. You know, I this is what this is what the award looks like if you're	18	voter education program. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Well, voter education is,
	you can see it.		I think, one of the most important things we do, which
21	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: For those watching		seems to be overlooked quite often in more
	online.		controversial matters, but it certainly speaks for
23	MR. COLLINS: Yes. There you go, but we're		itself when you look at the other finalists in this
	very proud of this. And I'm very proud of the work		category: The Centers for Disease Control, the
25	that Gina Gina and Alec have done on this project.	25	California campaign you referenced, Procter & Gamble.
09:5	6:33-09:58:09 Page 23	09:	59:46-10:00:58 Page 25
	And we're, obviously, happy that, you know, with the	1	Obviously, these are far more expensive campaigns with
2	work that we've been receiving from RIESTER and, you		
		2	very high-powered organizations behind them.
3	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put	2 3	very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER,
3 4	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean,	2 3 4	very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with
3 4 5	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a	2 3 4 5	very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters
3 4 5 6	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you	2 3 4 5 6	very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an
3 4 5 6 7	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a	2 3 4 5 6 7	very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters
3 4 5 6 7 8	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of	2 3 4 5 6 7	very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of folks who are the kinds of states and kinds of spending that were up for it along with us, we're talking about, you know, big we're talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec who, I guess, is hiding in the back. MR. COLLINS: Well, he's not hiding. He's do you want me to drag him out here?
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of folks who are the kinds of states and kinds of spending that were up for it along with us, we're talking about, you know, big we're talking about really big really big states, really big	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec who, I guess, is hiding in the back. MR. COLLINS: Well, he's not hiding. He's do you want me to drag him out here? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No. He can continue to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of folks who are the kinds of states and kinds of spending that were up for it along with us, we're talking about, you know, big we're talking about really big really big states, really big undertakings with really big national advertising	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec who, I guess, is hiding in the back. MR. COLLINS: Well, he's not hiding. He's do you want me to drag him out here? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No. He can continue to hide.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of folks who are the kinds of states and kinds of spending that were up for it along with us, we're talking about, you know, big we're talking about really big really big states, really big undertakings with really big national advertising firms.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec who, I guess, is hiding in the back. MR. COLLINS: Well, he's not hiding. He's do you want me to drag him out here? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No. He can continue to hide. Does anyone else want to make any comments
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of folks who are the kinds of states and kinds of spending that were up for it along with us, we're talking about, you know, big we're talking about really big really big states, really big undertakings with really big national advertising firms. And I think the fact that our initiative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec who, I guess, is hiding in the back. MR. COLLINS: Well, he's not hiding. He's do you want me to drag him out here? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No. He can continue to hide. Does anyone else want to make any comments on this?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of folks who are the kinds of states and kinds of spending that were up for it along with us, we're talking about, you know, big we're talking about really big really big states, really big undertakings with really big national advertising firms. And I think the fact that our initiative could compete with and then be awarded this in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec who, I guess, is hiding in the back. MR. COLLINS: Well, he's not hiding. He's do you want me to drag him out here? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No. He can continue to hide. Does anyone else want to make any comments on this? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll just say
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of folks who are the kinds of states and kinds of spending that were up for it along with us, we're talking about, you know, big we're talking about really big really big states, really big undertakings with really big national advertising firms. And I think the fact that our initiative could compete with and then be awarded this in that category is a pretty is a pretty big deal. It,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec who, I guess, is hiding in the back. MR. COLLINS: Well, he's not hiding. He's do you want me to drag him out here? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No. He can continue to hide. Does anyone else want to make any comments on this? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll just say congratulations and job well done, and we know the
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of folks who are the kinds of states and kinds of spending that were up for it along with us, we're talking about, you know, big we're talking about really big really big states, really big undertakings with really big national advertising firms. And I think the fact that our initiative could compete with and then be awarded this in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec who, I guess, is hiding in the back. MR. COLLINS: Well, he's not hiding. He's do you want me to drag him out here? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No. He can continue to hide. Does anyone else want to make any comments on this? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll just say
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of folks who are the kinds of states and kinds of spending that were up for it along with us, we're talking about, you know, big we're talking about really big really big states, really big undertakings with really big national advertising firms. And I think the fact that our initiative could compete with and then be awarded this in that category is a pretty is a pretty big deal. It, also, is, I think, proof of the concept that our work	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec who, I guess, is hiding in the back. MR. COLLINS: Well, he's not hiding. He's do you want me to drag him out here? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No. He can continue to hide. Does anyone else want to make any comments on this? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll just say congratulations and job well done, and we know the value that they give to our Commission. And thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of folks who are the kinds of states and kinds of spending that were up for it along with us, we're talking about, you know, big we're talking about really big really big states, really big undertakings with really big national advertising firms. And I think the fact that our initiative could compete with and then be awarded this in that category is a pretty is a pretty big deal. It, also, is, I think, proof of the concept that our work is on point, is being evaluated by other professionals to, say, look, this is how you should be doing this kind of campaign.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec who, I guess, is hiding in the back. MR. COLLINS: Well, he's not hiding. He's do you want me to drag him out here? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No. He can continue to hide. Does anyone else want to make any comments on this? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll just say congratulations and job well done, and we know the value that they give to our Commission. And thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman, I don't have anything to add, but congratulations. And I think</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of folks who are the kinds of states and kinds of spending that were up for it along with us, we're talking about, you know, big we're talking about really big really big states, really big undertakings with really big national advertising firms. And I think the fact that our initiative could compete with and then be awarded this in that category is a pretty is a pretty big deal. It, also, is, I think, proof of the concept that our work is on point, is being evaluated by other professionals to, say, look, this is how you should be doing this kind of campaign. And the cost of it compared to what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec who, I guess, is hiding in the back. MR. COLLINS: Well, he's not hiding. He's do you want me to drag him out here? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No. He can continue to hide. Does anyone else want to make any comments on this? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll just say congratulations and job well done, and we know the value that they give to our Commission. And thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman, I don't have anything to add, but congratulations. And I think I've been consistent in saying this in my four-plus</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of folks who are the kinds of states and kinds of spending that were up for it along with us, we're talking about, you know, big we're talking about really big really big states, really big undertakings with really big national advertising firms. And I think the fact that our initiative could compete with and then be awarded this in that category is a pretty is a pretty big deal. It, also, is, I think, proof of the concept that our work is on point, is being evaluated by other professionals to, say, look, this is how you should be doing this kind of campaign. And the cost of it compared to what Cover California was is California's initiative to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec who, I guess, is hiding in the back. MR. COLLINS: Well, he's not hiding. He's do you want me to drag him out here? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No. He can continue to hide. Does anyone else want to make any comments on this? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll just say congratulations and job well done, and we know the value that they give to our Commission. And thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman, I don't have anything to add, but congratulations. And I think I've been consistent in saying this in my four-plus years as a commissioner is that the voter education
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of folks who are the kinds of states and kinds of spending that were up for it along with us, we're talking about, you know, big we're talking about really big really big states, really big undertakings with really big national advertising firms. And I think the fact that our initiative could compete with and then be awarded this in that category is a pretty is a pretty big deal. It, also, is, I think, proof of the concept that our work is on point, is being evaluated by other professionals to, say, look, this is how you should be doing this kind of campaign. And the cost of it compared to what Cover California was is California's initiative to get people to enroll in expanded Medi-Cal. I mean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec who, I guess, is hiding in the back. MR. COLLINS: Well, he's not hiding. He's do you want me to drag him out here? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No. He can continue to hide. Does anyone else want to make any comments on this? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll just say congratulations and job well done, and we know the value that they give to our Commission. And thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman, I don't have anything to add, but congratulations. And I think I've been consistent in saying this in my four-plus years as a commissioner is that the voter education piece of what we do is as important, if not more
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	know, I just think that the reason I wanted to put it on the agenda, in part, was to say, look, I mean, first of all, when you look at the and we have a copy of that, I think, back in the office, but if you look at the program for this award and the kinds of folks who are the kinds of states and kinds of spending that were up for it along with us, we're talking about, you know, big we're talking about really big really big states, really big undertakings with really big national advertising firms. And I think the fact that our initiative could compete with and then be awarded this in that category is a pretty is a pretty big deal. It, also, is, I think, proof of the concept that our work is on point, is being evaluated by other professionals to, say, look, this is how you should be doing this kind of campaign. And the cost of it compared to what Cover California was is California's initiative to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 very high-powered organizations behind them. And I'm very, very proud of Gina. RIESTER, I think, has done an outstanding job in helping with us with this campaign and with many other matters for a number of years. And I think this is an outstanding accomplishment. Congratulations to Alec who, I guess, is hiding in the back. MR. COLLINS: Well, he's not hiding. He's do you want me to drag him out here? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No. He can continue to hide. Does anyone else want to make any comments on this? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll just say congratulations and job well done, and we know the value that they give to our Commission. And thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman, I don't have anything to add, but congratulations. And I think I've been consistent in saying this in my four-plus years as a commissioner is that the voter education

Citizens Clean Elections Commission		March 28, 201
10:01:02-10:01:43	Page 26	Page 28
1 deal with. So great job and keep it up. Thank	vou.	STATE OF ARIZONA)
2 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And thank you for	· 2	COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
3 it on the agenda, Tom. I think it deserves some	2	BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were
4 some attention.	4	taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified
5 MR. COLLINS: Do you guys have anything	vou 5	Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings
6 want to	6	were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter
7 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Yes, we have l	RIESTER. 7	transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that
8 MR. COLLINS: Anything you want to say?		the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate
9 MS. BORREGO: No. I think you covered it	-	transcript of all proceedings and testimony had and
10 all.	10	adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to
11 MR. COLLINS: Okay.	11	the best of my skill and ability.
12 MS. BORREGO: Thank you for the opportu	nity 12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way
13 of working with you. It's great.	13	related to nor employed by any of the parties thereto
14 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you.	14	nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof.
15 Item VI, public comment. Is there anyone	15	DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 28th day of
16 in the public who wants to comment?	16	March, 2019.
17 (No response.)	17	1 marian
18 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It doesn't appear so	. 18	7
19 Item VII, adjournment.	19	LILIA MONARREZ, RPR, CR #50699
20 Is there a motion to adjourn?	20	
21 COMMISSIONER PATON: I make a motio	on to 21	
22 adjourn.	22	
23 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Second.	23	
24 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: All those in favor s	ay 24	
25 aye.	25	
10:01:43-10:01:48	Page 27	
1 (Chorus of ayes.)		
2 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No one opposed?		
3 (No response.)		
4 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: We are adjourned.		
5 (Whereupon, the proceedings concluded at		
6 10:01 a.m.)		
7 ///		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	1	

account (1) 15:12 17 Campaign (7) 4:18; 14.18:25:15,19.22; department (1) 4:2 act (7) 6:19,217:1.6, audience (1) 24:3 audience (1) 24:3 26:7.21,23 26:7.21,23 Commissioners (2) 4:3; designed (1) 8:10 action (4) 7.72,20:24; auditi (4) 16:22,17:17; cam (16) 6:10,7:18; 11:2,3,4,15;13:18:18:22, 20:2 Commissioners (2) 4:3; designed (1) 8:10 activel (1) 3:3 auditi (4) 16:22,17:17; cam (16) 6:10,7:18; 11:2,3,4,15;13:18:18:22, 20:2 Commission's (2) 6:18; 20:2 20:3 designed (1) 8:10 audition (1) 18:10 auditor's (1) 17:6 candidate (7) 17:10,12; 20:2 Commission's (2) 6:18; 20:2 20:2 Commission's (2) 6:18; 20:3 designed (1) 8:13 20:2 20:2 Commission's (2) 6:18; 20:2 Commission's (2) 6:18; 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 Commission's (2) 6:18; 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 20:2 <th>Citizens Clean Elections (</th> <th>Commission</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>March 28, 2019</th>	Citizens Clean Elections (Commission			March 28, 2019
/ apply (1) 6:25 approvel (1) 3:16:22 approvel (1) 3:16:22 approvel (1) 3:16:22 approvel (1) 3:16:22 approvel (1) 3:16:22 arrile (2) 5:16 arrea (1) 15:16 arrea (1) 15:17 accept (2) 17:8.15:21 attempts (1) 6:17 accept (2) 17:8.15:21 attempts (1) 6:17 accept (2) 17:8.15:21 attempts (1) 6:17 accept (2) 17:8.15:21 attempts (1) 6:17 accept (2) 17:16. aution (2) 5:22:64 attorney (2) 7:43:16. aution (2) 7:43:17. aution (2) 7:43:17. aution (2) 7:43:17. aution (2) 7:43:18. aution (2) 7:53:16. aution (2) 7:53:16. aution (2) 7:53:16. aution (2) 7:73:17. aution (2) 7:73:17. aution (2) 7:73. aution (2) 7:73. aution (2) 7:73. aution (2) 7:74. aution (2)		(1) 26 10	20.14.24.0	22 12 1 24 15 22 16 2	
approval (1) 16:22 around (1) 8:24 around (1) 8:25 aborbard (2) 18:22; aborbard (2) 18:22; accept (4) 21:7,815,21 accept (4) 21:7,815,21 accept (4) 21:7,815,21 accept (4) 21:7,815,21 account (1) 15:12 account (1) 15:12 active (1) 13:8 active (1) 15:2 additions (1) 6:5 additions (1) 6:3 additions (1) 7:4 additions (1) 7:4 additions (1) 7:2 adjorn (2) 20:125:20 adjorn (2) 20:125:20;11 add (2) 17:12:12 adjorn (2) 20:125:20;12 adjorn (2) 20:125:20;14;14;14;14;14;14;14;14;14;14;14;14;14;					
approval (1) 16:22 around (1) 8:24 around (1) 8:25 around (1) 8:26 around (1) 8:27 around (1) 8:28 around (1) 8:29 around (2) 22:17 around	/				cute (1) 9:20
min (r) 27:7 approve (r) 3:13;14;18 bring (r) 14:11 8,11 D A area (r) 15:16 area (r) 15:16 coming (r) 4:12 coming (r) 4:12 coming (r) 4:12 damage (r) 6:22 damage (r) 6:21 damage (r) 6:22 damage (r) 6:22 damage (r) 6:22 damage (r) 6:21 damage (r) 6:22 damage (r) 6:22 damage (r) 6:21 damage (r) 6:21 damage (r) 6:22 damage (r) 6:22 damage (r) 6:21		approval (1) 16:22	break (1) 24:5	22:7,9,11,23;25:9;26:5,	
(M + 1/2 + 2/2) approved (1) 3:24 approved (1) 3:24 brings (1) 7:13 comment (2) 8:13 able (3) 11:17:18:14; argument (1) 14:12 around (1) 8:25 around (1) 8:25 assumed (1) 2:7 aboreboard (2) 18:22; atomotory (1) 8:25 assumed (1) 8:11 assumed (1) 8:12 comment (2) 8:13 26:15.16 date (1) 12:7 Absolutity (1) 10:6 assumed (1) 8:11 assumed (1) 8:11 call (a) 5:12:7:51.49 call (a) 5:12:7:51.49 call (b) 14:9 account (1) 15:12 account (1) 15:12 account (1) 17:6 came (1) 17:16 came (1) 17:16 cam (6) 6:107:18 c	JUL (1) 27.7		bring (1) 14:11	8.11	D
A area (1) 15:16 24:3 aboveboard (2) 18:22; 19:16 brought (1) 24:9 argument (1) 14:12 argument (1) 16:17 accepted (1) 22:1 accepted (1) 22:1 accepted (1) 22:1 accepted (1) 22:1 accountability (1) 14:4 Act (7) 6:19.21;7:1.6, 10:9 California (3) 23:22; calide (1) 12:3 california (3) 23:22; calide (1) 12:3 california (3) 23:22; calide (1) 12:3 accepted (1) 22:1 accepted (1) 22:1 accountability (1) 14:4 Act (7) 6:19.21;7:1.6, 10:15:10:24 actiment (3) 7:48:16, california (1) 23:4 accepted (1) 22:1 actime (1) 5:12 actime (1) 12:3 accountability (1) 14:4 Act (7) 6:19.21;7:1.6, 10:15:10:24 actiment (1) 12:6 accepted (1) 22:1 actime (1) 12:7 actime (1) 13:8 accountability (1) 14:4 Act (7) 6:19.21;7:1.6, audite (2) 17:9;18:2 audite (2) 17:9;18:2 audite (1) 17:0 audite (1) 17:2,02:4; additions (1) 15:1 additors (1) 17:6 availabilor (1) 17:7 avarded (1) 23:12 avarded (1) 15:17 avarded (1) 15:17 avarded (1) 15:17 avarded (1) 15:17 avarded	<i>m</i> (1) 27:7				
Image: Constraint of the					demons (4) (-22
able (a) argument (1) 14:12 (1) C comment (2) 20:23 (2) day (1) 14:11 (2) 24:3 aboveboard (2) argument (1) 14:12 (2) California (3) 23:22; (2) Commission (8) 23:16 (2) Commission (8) 23:16 (2) Commission (8) 23:16 (2) Commission (8) California (1) 23:12 (2) Commission (8) California (1) California (1) California (1) California (1) California (1) Commission (1) California (1) Califorinia (1) Califoria (1)	Α		brought (1) 24:9		
add: (c) 11111(1014), 24:3 around (1) 8:25 addrom(a) (2) 25:22; assumed (1) 8:11 california (1) 23:22; 24:125 comparison (2) 3:7; california (1) 23:22; california (1) 23:22;			G		
24:3 around (1) 8:25 failoring (3) 23:22; 25:13 deal (2) 23:162:61 abovebaard (2) 18:22; assumed (1) 8:11 24:1,25 Commission (8) 3:7; 6:11:9:14:11:92:32; accepted (1) 22:1 attempts (1) 6:17 California's (1) 23:22; 19:3,16:26:1 8:4:22:12; accompt (3) 15:12 attempts (1) 6:17 California's (1) 23:22; Commission (8) 3:7; 6:11:9:14:11:92:33; account (1) 15:12 attempts (1) 6:17 call (4) 5:5,12:7:5;14* 20:13 3:14,16:16:16:9:11,12:1 account (1) 15:12 audine (1) 17:6 call (4) 14:9; 20:13 3:14,16:16:16:9:11,12:1 action (4) 3:7,25;16:21; audite (1) 17:2,20:24; 22:3:23:0.25; 20:7:23:3 commission's (2) 6:18; 22:3 action (4) 3:7,25;16:21; audite (1) 17:2,20:24; cand (4) 26:10;7:18; 22:3:3:12,6:10:1,21; discrepancies (1) 3:14; add (2) 20:15;25:20 audites (1) 17:2,20:24; case (1) 5:3 case (1) 5:14; committee (1) 25:14; committee (1) 25:14; committee (1) 25:14; committee (1) 25:14; committee (1)	able (3) 11:17:18:14:		C		day (1) 14:11
abovebard (2) 18:22; 19:16 (4) (2) 17:21; accepted (1) 22:1, accepted (1) 22:1, accepted (1) 22:1, accountability (1) 14:4, accountability (1) 18:1, accountability (1) 18:1, activel (1) 17:2, activel (1) 18:1, activel (2) 18:1, activel (1) 18:1, activel (2) 18:1, activel (2) 18:1, activel (2) 18:1, activel (2) 18:1, activel (2)		around (1) 8:25		25:13	deal (2) 23:16;26:1
$ \begin{array}{c} 19:16 \mbox{ correct} (1) 8:11 \\ 19:16 \mbox{ correct} (1) 8:11 \\ accept (4) (17, 81, 52) \\ accept (4) (17, 15, 12) \\ accept (4) (17, 12, 12) \\ accept (4) (17, 12) \\ accept (4) (17, 12) \\ acce$		article (2) 5:6,9	California (3) 23:22:	Commission (8) 3:7;	decided (4) 4:19:7:8:
Description Display (1) attempts (1) cilifornia's (1) 23:22 california's (1) 23:16:25:17 decision (1) 17:10 accopted (1) 22:1 attorneys (3) 7:43:16 called (1) 14:18:79.12.13:42:4: decision (1) 17:10 accountability (1) 10:3 called (1) 14:18:25:15.19.22: called (2) 24:25:25:5 commission's (2) 24:35:22:5 commission's (2) 21:3 auditred (1) 22:3 commission's (2) 21:3 22:3 commission's (2) 23:3 commission's (2) 23:3 22:3 commission's (2) 23:3 23:3 23:3 23:3 23:3 23:3 23:3 23:3 23:3 23:3					
accept (d) 127,8,15,21 attention (2) 552;64 call (4) 55,12,75;14:9 COMMISSIONE (26) defense (1) 11:21 accompt (1) 15:12 attention (2) 55;26:4 campiding (1) 3:4 campiding (1) 4:18; 14,182;515,19,22; designed (1) 4:24 action (4) 3:7,251;62:1 audience (1) 12:4 audience (1) 12:4 17:7,223;23;20;20; campiding (1) 7:18,18; campiding (1) 7:18,18; campiding (1) 7:10,12; campiding (1) 7:10,12; campiding (1) 7:10,12; cammission's (2) 6:18; cammi			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
accepted (1) 22:1 attorney (3) 8:20,21; called (1) 14:9 3:14,16:11,6:16:9,11, deliver (1) 24:4 accontabilisment (1) 15:12 attorney (3) 8:20,21; calling (1) 3:4 16:18:7.9,12,18:24, deliver (1) 24:4 accontability (1) 14:4 17:72:23:23:20,25; 14.16:11:6:9,11, deliver (1) 24:4 deliver (1) 8:15 accontability (1) 14:4 17:72:23:23:20,25; Commission's (2) 6:18; deliver (1) 8:16 actirs (1) 15:12 audit (2) 17:9; 18:2 audits (1) 17:2,02:4; 22:38:12,61,01,21; desvers (1) 8:63 active (1) 13:8 audits (1) 17:2,02:4; 11:12;34,15:13:18:18:2, Commission's (2) 6:18; difference (1) 24:4 address (1) 10:1 audits (1) 17:2,02:4; cardidate (7) 17:10,12; cardidate (7) 17:12,14; common (1) 10:14 common (1) 10:15:14 common (1) 10:					
accomptishment (1) 10.9 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
25:7 attorneys (3) 7:4;816, 17 came (1) 17:16 20:4:58,20:22:18:10, 17 Democrats (1) 15:1 accountal) (1) 12:12 attorney's (1) 7:9 attorney's (1) 7:9 20:4:58,20:22:18:10, 17:72:22:32:32:0.25; Democrats (1) 15:1 action (4) 3:7,25:16:21; 22:2 audite (2) 17:9:18:2 audite (2) 17:76 20:2 Commissioners (2) 4:3; degarment (1) 4:2 actually (3) 6:24:17:19; 18:14 audite (7) 17:6 22:3 Commitses (1) 5:1.4 Commitses (1) 5:1.4 Commitses (1) 5:1.4 add(10) f 1:5 auditors (1) 17:2 22:1 candidate (7) 17:10.12; 22:33:8:1.2,6,10.12,13; discussion (4) 3:6 add(10) f 1:5 auditors (1) 17:6 candidate (7) 17:10.12; 22:3 Committees (1) 5:14 commet (1) 4:24 additions (1) 3:2 auditors (1) 2:1:2 auditors (1) 17:2 case (1) 17:12 committees (1) 10:14 commet (1) 4:20 addresse (1) 12:1 auditors (1) 17:2 auditors (1) 17:2 case (1) 17:10 candidates (1) 17:10 committees (1) 5:14 commet (1) 4:20 additions (1) 2:2 auditors (1) 2:15 auditors (1) 2:12 candidates (1) 17:10 commols (1) 10:15 commet (1) 4:20	accepted (1) 22:1				
25:7 attorneys (3) 7:4;8:16, 17 came (1) 117:16 20:4;5,8;20;22:13:10, 20 Democrats (1) 15:12 account ability (1) 14:4 attorneys (1) 7:9 attorneys (1) 7:9 Campaign (7) 4:18; 17:72:3;23:20,25; 12:77; 12:3;23:20,25; 12:77; 12:3;23:20,25; 12:72;23:10; 12:23;23:20,25; 12:23;23:20,25; 12:23;23:20;25; 12:23;23:20;25; 12:23;23:20;25; 12:22;23:20;13:10; 12:23,45;13:18;18:22, 24:25;25:54; 12:23;23:20;25:11; 22:20;25:11; 22:20;25:11; 22:20;25:11; 22:20;25:11; 22:20;25:11; 22:20;25:11; 22:20;25:11; 22:20;25:11; 22:20;25:12; 22:20;25:12; 22:20;25:11; 22:20;25:11; 22:20;25:12; 22:20;25:12; 22:20;25:12; 22:20;25:12; 22:20;25:11; 22:20;25:12; 22:20;25:12; 22:20;25:12; 22:20;25:12; 22:20;25:12; 22:20;25:11; 22:20;25:12; 22:20;25:11; 22:20;25:12; 22:20;25:11; 22:20;25:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12:20; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:12; 22:1	accomplishment (1)				
account (1) 15:12 17 Campaign (7) 4:18; 14.182:51,51,9.22; department (1) 4:2 act (7) 6:19,21;7:1.6, 10,15:10:24 audine (1) 12:43 audine (2) 17:9; 18:2 26:7,21,23 Commissioners (2) 4:3; designed (1) 8:6 auting (1) 13:8 auding (1) 18:10 auding (1) 18:10 auding (1) 17:2,20,24; cam (16) 6:10,62;11:2; Commissioners (2) 4:3; designed (1) 8:6 auditis (1) 17:72,20,24; auditis (1) 17:72,20,24; auditis (1) 17:72,20,24; camidate (7) 17:10,12; 20:2 Commission's (2) 6:18; 20:2 Commission's (2) 6:18; 20:3 designed (1) 8:6 designed (1) 8:6 designed (1) 8:6 designed (1) 8:13 20:2 Commission's (2) 6:18;		attorneys (3) 7:4;8:16,	came (1) 17:16	20:4,5,8,20,22;21:8,10,	Democrats (1) 15:21
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		17	Campaign (7) 4:18;	14,18;25:15,19,22;	department (1) 4:25
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Act } (7) $ 6:19, 17:16, 2\\ 10, 15; 10:24 \\ \text{actim} (4) $ 7:72, 17:16; 2\\ 10, 15; 10:24 \\ \text{actim} (4) $ 7:72, 17:16; 2\\ 22:22 \\ \text{actim} (4) $ 7:72, 17:16; 2\\ 22:22 \\ \text{actim} (4) $ 7:72, 17:16; 2\\ 22:22 \\ \text{actim} (4) $ 16:22; 17:17; 2\\ 22:22 \\ \text{actually} (3) $ 6:24; 17:19; 19:13, 2\\ \text{audifing} (1) $ 18:10 \\ \text{audifing} (1) $ 17:2, 20, 24; 2\\ \text{audifing} (1) $ 19:10, 12\\ 22:11 \\ \text{audifing} (1) $ 19:10, 12\\ 22:11 \\ \text{audifing} (1) $ 2:12 \\ audifi$		attorney's (1) 7:9			
no.15:0:24 audit (4) 16:22:17:17; cam (16) 6:10;7:18; 20:2 different (3) 8:13;1 action (4) 37.25;16:21; 22:2 audited (2) 17:9;18:2 audited (2) 17:9;18:2 20:13 different (3) 8:13;1 actually (3) 6:24;17:19; auditors (1) 17:6 auditors (1) 17:6 3,4,4;19:6,6;21:12; 22:3;8:1,2,6;10;12,13; discrepancies (1) add(2) 20:15;25:20 auditors (1) 17:6 auditors (1) 17:6 22:20:13 commitse (11) 5:7,19; 16:18 addressed (1) 9:2 auditority (1) 6:18 auditors (1) 17:12 candidates (4) 16:23, commot (1) 10:14 discrepancies (1) addressed (1) 27:3 auditority (1) 23:15 auditor (2) 23:17;24:7 commot (1) 10:14 commot (1) 10:14 discrepancies (1) advertising (1) 23:12 avarded (1) 23:15 avard (3) 22:4;19;23:7 cases (1) 17:12 consistemt (1) 2:24 consistemt (1) 17:12 discrepancies (1) discrepancies (. ,
10,11,10,22,22 audita (2) 17:9:18:2 audita (2) 17:9:18:2 22:2 audita (2) 17:9:18:2 audita (2) 17:9:18:2 22:3 active (1) 13:8 auditing (1) 18:10 audits (1) 17:2 22:2 22:3 Commission's (2) 6:18, 22:3 director's (3) 41:1 add (2) 20:15;25:20 audits (1) 17:2,20,25:11 candidate (1) 17:12 22:1 audition (1) 16:18 audits (1) 17:12 22:1 audition (1) 16:12 22:2 commission's (2) 6:18,12:2 16:18 16:21:22:2 20:25:11 23:3 commission's (2) 6:18,12:2 16:18 20:13 adiscussion (1) 10:14 13:3 commission's (2) 6:18,12:2 16:21:22:2 13:3 adiscussion (1) 13:3 commission's (2) 6:19,21:18 23:11 commission's (2) 6:18,21:22:2 16:22:22:22:2 16:22:22:22:2 16:22:22:22:2 16:22:22:22:2 16:22:22:22:2 16:22:22:22:2 16:22:2:2:2:5:4:4 commerset (1) 20:13 commerset (1) 20:13:1 16:22:2:2:2:2:1 16:22:2:2:2:2:2:1:2:2:1 adiscussion (1) <t< th=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
Barbon Barbon<					
22:2 auditing (1) 17:918:2 11:2,3,4,1513:18,18:2 22:3 director's (3) 4:11 actually (3) 6:24;17:19; auditing (1) 18:10 3,4,4;19:66;21:12; 22:3:3 (1) 5:17,19; 18:1,14 auditing (1) 17:26 auditing (1) 17:26 22:20;25:11 22:23;8:1,2,6,10,12,13; discrepancies (1) add (2) 20:15:25:20 authority (1) 6:18 authority (1) 6:18 24:21:4,16 committee (1) 5:14 committee (1) 10:14 committee (1) 13:1 16:21:22:2 adjourn (2) 26:20,22 awarded (1) 23:15 cases (1) 17:12 cases (1) 17:12 comprehensive (1) 20:22 dioure (1) 12:22 comprehensive (1) 20:21 down (3) 5:8:8:3:14 advertising (1) 13:1 aye (3) 3:20;21:22; aye (3) 3:20;21:22; attractor (1) 13:15 confilter (1) 13:17 confilter (1) 13:17 confilter (1) 13:17 confilter (1) 12:12 confilter (1) 12:12 down (3) 5:8:8:3:14 advertising (1) 10:20;16:3; aye (3) 3:20;21:22; aye (3) 3:20;21:22; confilter (1)	action (4) 3:7,25;16:21;	,			
active (1) 13:8 actually (3) 6:24;17:19; 18:14 auditing (1) 18:10 auditors (1) 17:6 auditis (11) 17:2,20,24; 18:1,14;21:17,91,5,21; add(12) 20:15,25:20 add(110) 1(1) 6:5 add(110) 1(1) 6:5 add(110) 1(1) 6:5 add(110) 1(1) 6:5 add(110) 1(1) 6:5 add(110) 1(1) 6:18 available (1) 5:18 award (3) 22:4,19;23:7 adjourmed (1) 27:4 adjourment (1) 26:19 advice (2) 7:9,817 advice (2) 7:9,817 advice (2) 7:9,817 advice (2) 7:9,817 advice (3) 10:20;16:3; 24:6 aggin (3) 10:20;16:3; 24:6 aggin (4) 10:21:22 basicel (1) 2:12 ballot (6) 9:17,1318, agge (2) 4:7;10:11 advice (3) 4:17;12:25;27 adjourned (1) 22:12 ballot (6) 9:17,1318, aggin (3) 10:20;16:3; 24:6 aggin (3) 10:20;16:3; 25:7,16:4 abad (1) 22:12 ballot (6) 9:17,1318, 32;14:10,16;15:11 candidate (1) 5:15 consistent (1) 22:12 ballot (6) 9:17,1318, 32;24:10;22:14;5;12;17,10:11 aligned (1) 22:12 ballot (6) 9:17,132;8 ballot (7) 7;7 amending (1) 22:14 amending (1) 12:12 amending (1) 12:12 ballot (1) 11:12 amending (1) 11:12					director's (3) 4:1;10:10;
actually (3) 6:24:17:19; 18:14 auditis (1) 17:6 audits (1) 22:20;25:11 candidates (2) 22:23;8:12,6,10,12,13; 13:3 discrepancies (1) add (2) 20:15:25:20 address (1) authority (1) 6:18 authority (1) candidate (7) 17:10,12; 13:3 candidates (1) 13:3 common (1) 10:14 common (1) discrepancies (1) 4districts (1) 16:21;22:2 address (1) 19:2 adjourned (1) 26:20;2 award (2) 22:4,19:23:7 award (2) 22:4,19:23:7 award (2) 22:4,19:23:7 cases (1) common (1) 10:14 complicate (1) 13:25 complicated (1) 13:25 concept (2) districts (1) 14:20 dotting (1) 4districts (1) 14:20 dotting (1) 14:20 consistent (1) 23:17 constructed (1) 14:10 consistent (1) 14:10 consistent (1) earlies (1) 14:10 consistent (1) 14:10 consistent (1) 14:10 consistent (1) 14:11 13:12,12,22;14:21 13:12,12,22;14:21 13:12,12,22;14:21 13:12,12,22;14:21 13:12,12,22;14:21 13:12,12,22;14:21 14:10 14:12 14:12 14:12 14:		auditing (1) 18:10	3,4,4;19:6,6;21:12;	Committee (11) 5:7,19,	16:18
add (2) 20:15;25:20 add (2) 20:15;25:20 add (1) 3:9 addressed (1) 21:3 addressed (1) 21:3 addressed (1) 21:3 adjourned (1) 22:12 adjourned (1) 22:12 adjourned (1) 23:12 adjourned (1) 23:14 adjourned (1) 23:17 adjourned (1) 23:17 adjourned (1) 23:44 adjourned (1) 23:17 adjourned (1) 23:44 adjourned (1) 23:17 adjourned (1) 23:44 adjourned (1) 23:17 adjourned (1) 23:44 adjourned (1) 23:44 adjour		auditor's (1) 17:6	22:20;25:11	22,23;8:1,2,6,10,12,13:	discrepancies (1) 13:6
add (2) 20:15;25:20 additional (1) 6:5 address (1) 13:9 address (1) 12:13 address (1) 12:14 adjourn (2) 26:20,22 adjourned (1) 27:4 adjourned (1) 27:4 adjourned (1) 23:15 award (3) 22:4, 19:23:7 award (1) 23:15 award (1) 23:15 advertising (1) 23:12 advertising (1) 23:14 advertising (1) 23:14 advertising (1) 23:14 advertising (1) 23:14 aligned (1) 23:17 amending (1) 12:17 amending					
additional (1) 6:5 authority (1) 6:14 common (1) 10:14 Disease (1) 24:24 additional (1) 6:5 authority (1) 6:18 available (1) 5:18 available (1) 5:13 compared (1) 23:21 address (1) 19:2 award (3) 22:4,19;23:7 award (3) 22:4,19;23:7 award (3) 22:4,19;23:7 compared (1) 13:25 comprehensive (1) 23:15 districts (1) 4:10 adjourned (1) 27:4 award (3) 22:4,19;23:7 award (3) 22:4,19;23:7 case (1) 17:12 comprehensive (1) 23:15 dotting (1) 13:17 adfect (1) 17:13 aye (3) 3:20;21:22; 26:25 aye (3) 3:20;21:22; 23:16:24:24 conflict (1) 13:17 conflict (1) 17:15 dotting (1) 14:20 adfect (1) 15:1 aye (3) 3:20;21:22; 26:25 ayes (3) 3:20;21:22; catagory (3) 23:1;21:23; conflict (1) 10:19 conflict (1) 10:19 conflict (1) 10:19 consistent (1) 27:5 conflict (1) 10:19 consistent (1) 25:21 consistent (1) 24:24 consistent (1) 25:21 consistent (1) 24:24					
addition (1) 3:9 authority (1) 6:18 24:21:4,16 compared (1) 23:15 districts (1) 4:10 additions (1) 21:3 auvarded (1) 22:4 avarded (1) 22:15 candidate's (1) 17:6 comprehensive (1) 23:15 comprehensive (1) 23:15 comprehensive (1) 13:25 concept (2) 23:17;24:7 dotting (1) 19:22 adjourn (2) 26:20, 22 awarded (1) 23:15 awarded (1) 23:15 cases (1) 17:12 concept (2) 23:17;24:7 dotting (1) 19:22 advertising (1) 23:12 aye (3) 3:20;21:22; 23:16:24:24 confidence (1) 17:15 consistent (1) 25:21 consistent (1) 24:24 consistent (1) 24:24 consistent (1) 25:21 consistent (1) 24:24 coc					
address (1) 19:2 addressed (1) 21:3 adjourned (1) 27:4 adjourned (2) 27:4 adj					
addressed (1) 21:3 adjourned (1) 27:4 adjourned (1) 27:4 adjournement (1) 26:19 advertising (1) 23:12 advertising (1) 13:17 arge (2) 4:7;10:11 agree (2) 15:4;18:25 allog (2) 4:7;10:11 agree (2) 15:4;18:25 allog (2) 12:12 Alec (6) 4:21,25;22:14, allog (2) 10:1;23:9 alters (1) 6:6 although (1) 22:14 altogether (1) 8:14 altogether (1) 8:14 altogether (1) 8:14 altogether (1) 8:14 altogether (1) 8:14 altogether (1) 8:14 altogether (1) 8:12 amended (1) 9:11 amending (1) 11:12 amended (1) 9:17 amended (1) 9:11 amendeg (1) 11:12 amended (1) 9:17 amended	additions (1) 3:9				
addressed (1) 21:3 adjourned (1) 22:4,19:23:7 adjourned (1) 22:4,19:23:7 adjourned (1) 23:15 adjourned (1) 23:12 awarde (1) 15:1 affect (1) 15:1 age (2) 4:7:10:11 age (2) 15:4;18:25 ahead (1) 22:12 bask (5) 9:12,19:22:14; 12:12,12;45:15,21; aligned (1) 22:14 aligned (1) 21:12 amended (1) 21:12 amended (1) 21:12 amended (1) 21:12 amended (1) 51:17 amended (1) 21:7 amended (1) 51:17 amended (1) 21:7 amended (1) 21:7 amended (1) 51:17 amended (1) 51	address (1) 19:2				
adjourn (2) 26:20,22 awarded (1) 23:15 cases (1) 17:12 comprehensive (1) 20:9 dotting (1) 19:22 adjourned (1) 26:19 away (1) 16:13 away (3) 3:20;21:22; 23:16;24:24 concept (2) 23:17;24:7 down (3) 5:8;8:3;14 advertising (1) 23:12 ayes (3) 3:20;21:22; 23:16;24:24 concept (2) 23:17;24:7 concept (2) 23:17;24:7 down (3) 5:8;8:3;14 affected (1) 15:1 ayes (3) 3:21;21:23; 26:25 Centars (1) 24:24 conflict (1) 10:19 conflict (1) 10:19 agenda (5) 4:17;12:20; back (5) 9:12,19;22:14; 23:6;25:8 CHAIRMAN (50) 3:3, 25:7,16,20 consistent (1) 25:21 consistent (1) 25:11 consistent (1) 25:11 25:7,16,20 consistent (1) 24:24 consistent (1) 24:24 consistent (1) 24:24 13:12,12,22;14:11 13:12,12,22;14:11 13:12,12,22;14:11 13:12,12,22;14:11 13:12,12,22;14:11 13:12,12,22;14:11 13:12,12,22;21:42 13:12,12,22;21:42 13:12,12,22;21:42 13:12,12,22;21:42 14:10 earlies (1) 14:10 12:5,14:22;22:32; 13:12,12,22;14:11,18,19; control (1) 24:24 12:5,14:22;22:32; 13:12,12,22;14:41 14:13:18:15 24:19;25:11,18,19; control (1) 24:24 control (1) 24:24 12:5,14:22;22:23; 14:12;16:23; control (1) 24:24		award (3) 22:4,19;23:7	case (1) 5:3	complicated (1) 13:25	16;22:25;25:4,16
adjourned (1) 27:4 adjournent (1) 26:19 advice (2) 7:9;8:17 affect (1) 15:1 affect (1) 15:1 ago (2) 4:7;10:11 agree (2) 15:4;18:25 alad (1) 22:12 back (5) 9:12,19;22:14; 16:24;23:4;26:3 ago (2) 4:7;10:11 agree (2) 15:4;18:25 alad (1) 22:12 bank (2) 17:7;24:5 bank (2) 17:7;24:5 bank (2) 17:7;24:5 bank (2) 17:7;24:5 bank (2) 17:7;24:5 bank (2) 17:7;24:5 bank (2) 17:7;24:5 basically (3) 5:20; 14:13;18:15 allong (1) 12:11 although (1) 22:14 although (1) 12:11 amended (1) 9:11 amended (1) 9:11 amended (1) 9:11 amended (1) 9:17 amended (1) 9:17 bill (1) 5:8,19:6;37;5; amended (1) 9:11 amended (1) 9:17 amended (1) 15:17 amended (1) 20:17 amended		awarded (1) 23:15	cases (1) 17:12	comprehensive (1) 20:9	dotting (1) 19:22
adjournment (1) 26:19 aye (3) 3:20;21:22; 23:16;24:24 concluded (1) 27:5 drag (2) 22:15;25:1 advertising (1) 23:12 ayes (3) 3:21;21:23; 26:25 certain (1) 13:17 confilence (1) 4:22 drop (1) 4:20 adfourment (1) 26:19 ayes (3) 3:21;21:23; 24:21 confilence (1) 17:15 confilence (1) 17:15 drop (1) 14:20 adfourment (2) 20:16:3; 24:6 agenda (5) 4:17;12:20; back (5) 9:12,19;22:14; 24:22 chaired (1) 5:15 confiler (1) 10:19 confile (1) 17:15 due (2) 11:11.21 agenda (5) 4:17;12:20; back (5) 9:12,19;22:14; 23:6:25:8 ball (6) 9:17;13:18, 22:14:10,16:15:11 ball (6) 9:17;13:18, 22:5:10:3:11:19:16:1; constructed (1) 7:3 constructed (1) 7:3 constructed (1) 7:3 13:12,12,22:14:7 aligned (1) 22:12 basic (2) 17:7;24:5 basic (2) 17:7;24:5 basic (2) 12:7;8:7;19:7;10, 24:20:2,21,23,25;21:6, controversial (1) 24:24 controversial (1) 23:6 18,19,25:22:23 i8,19,25:22:22:32; controversial (1) 24:24 controversial (1) 24:24 controversial (1) 24:24 corrections (1) 3:9 </th <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
advertising (1) 23:12 26:25 Centers (1) 24:24 conference (1) 4:22 drop (1) 14:20 advice (2) 7:9;8:17 affect (1) 15:1 ages (3) 3:21:21:23; 27:1 centers (1) 24:24 confidence (1) 17:15 confidence (1) 17:15 confidence (1) 17:15 confidence (1) 17:15 confidence (1) 10:19 confidence (1) 10:19 confidence (1) 10:19 confidence (1) 10:19 consistent (1) 25:21 consistent (1) 25:21 consistent (1) 25:21 consistent (1) 25:21 consistent (1) 22:12 ballot (6) 9:17;13:18, 22;14:10.16;15:11 ballot (6) 9:17;13:18, 22;14:10.16;15:11 p:25;10:3;11:19,23; constructed (1) 7:3 constructed (1) 7:3 constructed (1) 7:3 constructed (1) 7:3 tis12;12:22;14:7 Alec (6) 4:21,25;22:14, 25;22:14, along (2) 10:1;23:9 basically (3) 5:20; 11;20;24;22:1,3;11;19;23; control (1) 24:24 cotrol (
advice (2) 7:9:8:17 ayes (3) 3:21;21:23; certain (1) 13:17 confidence (1) 17:15 due (2) 11:11,21 affect (1) 15:1 27:1 certainly (3) 10:4;14:6; confidence (1) 17:15 confidence (1) 17:15 due (2) 11:11,21 agenda (5) 4:17;12:20; back (5) 9:12,19;22:14; 24:22 chaired (1) 5:15 consistent (1) 25:21 consistent (1) 12:12 consistent (1) 12:12 back (5) 9:17,13:18, 29:17;13:18, 29:17;12:19;22:14; 12:1,21,22;45:15;21; consistent (1) 7:3 consistent (1) 25:21 consistent (1) 7:3 consistent (
affect (1) 15:1 27:1 certainly (3) 10:4;14:6; conflict (1) 10:19 affect (1) 15:1 B 24:2 Congratulations (3) 25:7,16,20 agenda (5) 4:17;12:20; back (5) 9:12,19;22:14; 23:6;25:8 12,17,22,24;5:15,21; consistent (1) 25:21 consistent (1) 25:21 ago (2) 4:7;10:11 ballot (6) 9:17;13:18, 22;14:10,16;15:11 9:25;10:3;11:19,23; constructed (1) 7:3 13:12,12,22;14:7 agree (2) 15:4;18:25 basd (1) 14:20 based (1) 14:20 24;20:2,21,23,25;21:6, controut (1) 24:24 15:15:11;16:11 ahead (1) 22:12 bank (2) 17:7;24:5 based (1) 14:20 24;20:2,21,23,25;21:6, controut (1) 24:24 15:15:11;16:11 along (2) 10:1;23:9 best (1) 22:4 best (1) 22:4 best (1) 22:4 chance (1) 10:17 corrections (1) 3:9 corrections (1) 3:9 elections (1) 4:12 altrough (1) 22:14 big (8) 18:10,20;23:10, 11,11,11,12,16 biggest (3) 10:22;14:9; counties (1) 10:16 counties (2) 13:7,14 elections (1) 4:12 altrough (1) 22:14 biggest (3) 10:22;14:9; counties (1) 20:13 counties (2) 13:7,14 election (1) 18:2 altrough (1) 9:11 Bill (11) 5:8,19;6:3;7:5; fbiggest (3) 10:22;14:9	advertising (1) 23:12				
affect (1) 15:1 27:1 certainly (3) 10:4;14:6; conflict (1) 10:19 affect (1) 13:9 B 24:22 Congratulations (3) 25:7,16,20 agenda (5) 4:17;12:20; back (5) 9:12,19;22:14; 23:6;25:8 CHAIRMAN (50) 3:3, 12,17,22,24;5:15,21; consistent (1) 25:21 consistent (1) 25:15 earlies (1) 14:10 agenda (5) 4:17;12:20; back (5) 9:12,19;22:14; 23:6;25:8 12,17,22,24;5:15,21; consistent (1) 25:11 consistent (1) 25:12 consistent (1) 25:12 consistent (1) 25:11 13:12,12,22,214:7; 15:15:11;16:11 agree (2) 15:4;18:25 22;14:10,16;15:11 bank (2) 17:7;24:5 5:17,20;18:7;19:7,10, controversial (1) 24:24 controversial (1) 24:22 15:15:11;16:11 ahead (1) 22:14 based (1) 14:20 24:20:2,21,8,10,21; controversial (1) 24:24 12:5;14:22;22:3; aligned (1) 12:11 behind (2) 6:2;25:2 basically (3) 5:20; 11,20,24;22:14,8,10,21; corrections (1) 8:23 effective (1) 24:17 aligned (1) 12:11 better (1) 18:19 better (1) 18:19 council (1) 4:10 council (1) 4:10 15:20;19:12,16;2 although (1) 22:14 big (8) 18:10,20;23:10, 11,11,11,12;16 councie (1) 10:17 council (1) 4:10	advice (2) 7:9;8:17				due (2) 11:11,21
affected (1) 13:9 agin (3) 10:20;16:3; 24:6 B 24:22 chaired (1) 5:15 chaired (1) 5:15 Congratulations (3) 25:7,16,20 E agenda (5) 4:17;12:20; 16:24;23:4;26:3 ago (2) 4:7;10:11 agree (2) 15:4;18:25 cheared (1) 22:12 baked (1) 22:12 baked (1) 22:12 baked (1) 12:12 baked (1) 12:12 basically (3) 5:20; 11:20;24;25:22:14; 25;24:8;25:7 Alex (6) 4:21,25;22:14, basically (3) 5:20; 11:20;24;25:22 basically (3) 5:20; 11:20;24;25:22 alters (1) 6:6 bit (1) 4:12 best (1) 22:14 big (8) 18:10,20;23:10, 18:18,22,222 amended (1) 9:11 amending (1) 11:12 among (1) 5:7 amounts (1) 15:17 amounts (1) 15:17 amounts (1) 15:17 amswered (1) 20:17 B B Congratulations (3) back (5) 9:12,19;22:14; 23:24:22:24;51:5,21; 7:18,21,24;87:15; 23:62:18;11:19,23; basically (3) 5:20; 11:20;24;22:2,22;23;25;21:6, basically (3) 5:20; 11:20;24;22:2,22;23;25;21:6, basically (3) 5:20; 11:20;24;22:2,22;23;25;21:6, 24:20:22,21,23,25;21:6, 24:20:22,21,23,25;21:6, 24:20:22,12,32,25;21:6, 24:20:22,12,32,25;21:6, 24:20:22,12,32,25;21:6, 24:20:22,12,32,25;21:6, 24:20:22,12,32,25;21:6, 24:20:22,12,32,25;21:6, 24:20:22,12,32,25;21:6, 24:20:22,12,18,10,21; 24:19;25:11,18,19; 24:19;25:11,18,19; 24:19;25:11,18,19; 24:19;25:11,18,19; 24:19;25:11,18,19; 24:19;25:11,18,19; 24:19;25:11,18,19; 24:19;25:11,18,19; 25:10,10:17 Control (1) 24:24 controe (1) 18:3 countel (1) 8:24 counties (2) 13:7,14 counties (2) 13:7,14 counties (2) 13:7,14 counties (1) 14:18 counties (2) 13:7,14 counties (1) 14:18 counties (1) 14:18; 24:19;25:11 Election (1) 4:12 counties (1) 14:18; 25:10;10:10 Election (1) 16:7 end (1) 18:2 elements (1) 6:7 adiage (1) 5:7 answered (1) 20:17 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 counties (1) 14:18; 24:19;25:111,8;12 counties (1) 14:18; 24:19;25:11,18;12		27:1			
again (3) 10:20;16:3; 24:6 B chaired (1) 5:15 25:7,16,20 agenda (5) 4:17;12:20; 16:24;23:4;26:3 back (5) 9:12,19;22:14; 23:6;25:8 back (5) 9:12,19;22:14; 23:6;25:8 12,17,22,24;5:15,21; 7:18,21,24;8:7,15; consistent (1) 25:21 consistent (1) 25:21 age (2) 15:4;18:25 ballot (6) 9:17;13:18, 22;14:10,16;15:11 9:25;10:3;11:19,23; constructed (1) 7:3 constructed (1) 7:3 ahead (1) 22:12 bank (2) 17:7;24:5 5,17,20;18;7;19:7,10, controw (1) 24:24 15:15:11;16:11 ahead (1) 22:12 basically (3) 5:20; 11,20,24;22:1,8,10,21; controversial (1) 24:24 12:5;14:22;22:3; Alex (1) 22:14 best (1) 22:4 best (1) 22:4 conversial (1) 8:23 conversial (1) 8:23 aligned (1) 12:11 behind (2) 6:2;25:2 26:2,14,18,24;27:2,4 corrections (1) 3:9 corrections (1) 3:9 aligned (1) 22:14 best (1) 12:10 better (1) 18:19 chance (1) 10:17 corrections (1) 4:10 11:14:11;16:23;2 always (6) 5:15;9:15, big (8) 18:10,20;23:10, 11,11,11,12,16 change (2) 12:7,8 countie (2) 13:7,14 counties (2) 13:7,14 enact (1) 7:7 amended (1) 9:11 amending (1) 11:12 9:15,16;12:7,7,9;16:14, chaire (1) 3:4 counties (2)			24:22	Congratulations (3)	E
agenda (5) 4:17;12:20; 16:24;23:4;26:3 ago (2) 4:7;10:11 agree (2) 15:4;18:25 ahead (1) 22:12 Alec (6) 4:21;25;22:14, 25;24:8;25:7 Alex (1) 22:14 aligned (1) 12:11 behind (2) 6:2;25:2 attras (1) 6:6 atthough (1) 22:14 aligned (1) 12:11 behind (2) 6:2;25:2 attras (1) 6:6 atthough (1) 22:14 aligned (1) 12:11 behind (2) 6:2;25:2 attras (1) 6:6 atthough (1) 21:12 amended (1) 9:11 amended (1) 5:17 amended (1) 9:11 amended (1) 5:17 amended (1) 20:17 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 bills (3) 5:1		В	chaired (1) 5:15	25:7,16,20	
agenda (5) 4:17;12:20; 16:24;23:4;26:3 ago (2) 4:7;10:11 agree (2) 15:4;18:25 ahead (1) 22:12 Alec (6) 4:21,25;22:14; 21:4:10,16;15:11 bank (2) 7:7;24:5 back (5) 9:12,19;22:14; 23:6;25:8 ballot (6) 9:17;13:18, 22;14:10,16;15:11 bank (2) 7:7;24:5 12,17,22,24;5:15,21; 7:18,21,24;87,15; 9:25;10:3;11:19,23; 21:22;12;21;25;10:3;11:19,23; 21:22;10:3;11:19,23; 22:12;21;23;10:13;11:19,23; 22:12:14:10,16;15:11 bank (2) 7:7;24:5 consistently (4) 14:15, 17,25;15:2 early (12) 12:8,11,2 13:12,12,22;14:7 Alec (6) 4:21,25;22:14, 25:24:8;25:7 based (1) 14:20 basically (3) 5:20; 14:13;18:15 5;17,20;18:7;19:7,10, 24:20;2,21,23,25;21:6, 24:20;2,21,23,25;21:6, 24:20;2,21,23,25;21:6, 24:20;2,21,23,25;21:6, 26:2,14,18,24;27:2,4 control (1) 24:24 controversial (1) 24:22 18:19;25:22 aligned (1) 12:11 along (2) 10:1;23:9 alters (1) 6:6 although (1) 22:14 although (1) 22:14 although (1) 22:14 although (1) 22:14 although (1) 22:14 although (1) 22:14 although (1) 9:11 amended (1) 9:12 amended (1) 9:11 amended (1) 9:13 amended (1) 9:13 amended (1) 9:14 amended (1) 9:14 amended (1) 9:17 amounts (1) 15:17 amounts (1) 20:17 bill (1) 5:13;9:21;12:5 bit (1) 4:16 control (1) 20:24 claimed (1) 5:25 clause (2) 7:6,6 Clean (7) 3:4;7;1;9:14; control (1) 20:14 counties (1) 12:2 early (1) 20:24 counties (1) 12:2 early (1) 20:24 counties (1) 20:13 counties (2) 13:7,14 counties (2) 13:7,14 counties (2) 13:7,14 counties (2) 13					earlies (1) 14.10
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		back (5) 0.12 10.22.14.			
ago (2) 4:7;10:11 $age (2) 4:7;10:11$ $age (2) 15:4;18:25$ $ahead (1) 22:12$ $ballot (6) 9:17;13:18,$ $22;14:10,16;15:11$ $bank (2) 17:7;24:5$ $based (1) 14:20$ $based (1) 14:20$ $based (1) 14:20$ $basically (3) 5:20;$ $14:13;18:15$ $9:25;10:3;11:19,23;$ $12:1,21,24;15:19;16:1,$ $5,17,20;18:7;19:7,10,$ $24;20:2,21,23,25;21:6,$ $controversial (1) 24:24$ $controversial (1) 24:24$ $controversial (1) 24:24$ $12:5;14:22;22:3;$ $controversial (1) 24:24$ $12:5;14:22;22:3;$ $controversial (1) 24:24$ $11:14:13;18:15$ $24:19;25:11,18,19;$ $24:19;25:11,18,19;$ $26:2,14,18,24;27:2,4$ $controversial (1) 8:23$ $conversations (1) 8:23$ $election (7) 3:4;4:6$ $conversations (1) 8:24$ $conversations (1) 8:23$ $conversations (1) 8:23$ $elections (1) 4:13$ $conversations (1) 8:23$ $elections (1) 4:10$ $15:20;19:12,16;23$ $counties (1) 10:16$ $counties (1) 10:13$ $counties (1) 10:16$ $counties (1) 14:8$ $counties (1) 14:8$ $enact (1) 7:7$ $ended (1) 18:2$ $ended (1) 18:2$ <br< th=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>					
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	16:24;23:4;26:3				
agree (2) $15:4;18:25$ ahead (1) $22;14:10,16;15:11$ bank (2) $12:1,21,24;15:19;16:1,$ $5,17,20;18:7;19:7,10,$ continue (1) $25:11$ Control (1)education (9) $4:15$ $12:5;14:22;22:3;$ Alec (6) $4:21,25;22:14,$ $25;24:8;25:7$ based (1) $14:20$ basically (3) $5:20;$ $14:13;18:15$ $12:1,21,24;15:19;16:1,$ $5,17,20;18:7;19:7,10,$ continue (1) $25:11$ Control (1) $24:22$ $24:20:2,21,23,25;21:6,$ conversations (1) $8:23$ conversations (1) $8:10,12;21;22;2;2;2;2;2;2;2;2;2;2;2;2;2;2;2;$	ago (2) 4:7;10:11				
anead (1) $22:12$ Alec (6) $4:21,25;22:14$, $25;24:8;25:7$ bank (2) $17:7;24:5$ based (1) $14:20$ basically (3) $5:20;$ $14:13;18:15$ $5,17,20;18:7;19:7,10,$ $24;20:2,21,23,25;21:6,$ $11,20,24;22:1,8,10,21;$ $24:19;25:11,18,19;$ $26:2,14,18,24;27:2,4$ chance (1) $10:17$ Control (1) $24:24$ conversail (1) $24:22$ conversations (1) $8:23$ corrections (1) $8:24$ elections (1) $8:24$ corrections (1) $8:24$ corrections (1) $8:24$ elections (1) $8:24$ corrections (1) $8:24$ corrections (1) $8:24$ elections (1) $8:24$ elections (1) $8:24$ corrections (1) $8:24$ elections (1) $4:10$ $11;14:11;16:23;2$ $12:5;14:22;22:3;$ $14:13;18:15$ best (1) $22:14$ altogether (1) $8:14$ altogether (1) $8:14$ altogether (1) $8:14$ altogether (1) $8:14$ altogether (1) $8:14$ altogether (1) $8:14$ always (6) $5:15;9:15,$ $18;18,22,22$ amended (1) $9:11$ among (1) $5:7$ amounts (1) $15:17$ answered (1) $20:17$ Control (1) $24:24$ $24;20:2,21,23,25;21:6,$ $11;20;24;22:1,8,10,21;$ $26:2,14,18,24;27:2,4$ chance (1) $10:17$ chance (1) $10:17$ chance (1) $10:16$ chance (1) $10:16$ chance (1) $10:16$ council (1) $4:10$ council (1) $4:10$ council (1) $4:10$ council (1) $14:10$ council (1) $14:10$ council (1) $14:13$ council (1) $120:13$ elements (1) $6:25$ elements (1) $6:25$ element (1) $6:25$ clause (2) $7:6,6$ clean (7) $3:4;7:1;9:14;$ $10:10$ course (1) $20:24$ $12:5;14:22;22:3;$ $11;14:11;16:23;22elections (1) 4:12enact (1) 7:7end (1) 18:2ended (1) 16:7enforcement (6) 5:6:18;10:23;11:3,4$					education (9) 4:15,25;
Alec (6) $4:21,25;22:14$, $25;24:8;25:7$ based (1) $14:20$ basically (3) $5:20;$ $14:13;18:15$ $24;20:2,21,23,25;21:6,$ $11,20,24;22:1,8,10,21;$ $24:19;25:11,18,19;$ $26:2,14,18,24;27:2,4$ chance (1) $10:17$ change (2) $12:7,8$ corrections (1) $3:9$ corrections (1) $3:9$ corrections (1) $3:9$ corrections (1) $3:9$ election (7) $3:4;4:6$ $11;14:11;16:23;2$ election (7) $3:4;4:6$ corrections (1) $3:9$ corrections (1) $3:9$ corrections (1) $3:9$ council (1) $4:10$ council (1) $4:10$		bank (2) 17:7;24:5	5,17,20;18:7;19:7,10,	Control (1) 24:24	12:5;14:22;22:3;24:8,
$\begin{array}{c} \text{hist}(0,1,22;14,1,22;21,1,1,23;22;14,1,23;22;14,1,22;14\\ \text{aligned}(1)\ 12:11\\ \text{along}(2)\ 10:1;23:9\\ \text{alters}(1)\ 6:6\\ \text{although}(1)\ 22:14\\ \text{altough}(1)\ 21:1\\ \text{amendied}(1)\ 9:11\\ \text{amending}(1)\ 11:12\\ \text{amending}(1)\ 11:12\\ \text{among}(1)\ 5:7\\ \text{amounts}(1)\ 15:17\\ \text{answered}(1)\ 20:17\\ \text{bill}\ (3)\ 5:13;9:21;12:5\\ \text{bit}\ (1)\ 4:16\\ \text{bills}\ (3)\ 5:13;9:21;12:5\\ \text{bit}\ (1)\ 4:16\\ \text{bills}\ (3)\ 5:13;9:21;12:5\\ \text{bit}\ (1)\ 4:16\\ \text{clause}(2)\ 7:6,6\\ \text{clause}(2)\ 7:6,6\\ \text{clause}(1)\ 20:24\\ \text{course}(1)\ 20:24\\$		based (1) 14:20	24;20:2,21,23,25;21:6.	controversial (1) 24:22	18,19;25:22
$\begin{array}{c} 14:13;18:15\\ \text{Alex (1) } 22:14\\ \text{aligned (1) } 12:11\\ \text{along (2) } 10:1;23:9\\ \text{alters (1) } 6:6\\ \text{although (1) } 22:14\\ \text{altogether (1) } 8:14\\ \text{altogether (1) } 8:14\\ \text{altogether (1) } 8:14\\ \text{altogether (1) } 8:14\\ \text{almond (2) } 6:2;25:2\\ \text{best (1) } 22:4\\ \text{better (1) } 18:19\\ \text{big (8) } 18:10,20;23:10,\\ 11,11,11,12,16\\ \text{big gest (3) } 10:22;14:9;\\ 18,18,22,22\\ \text{amended (1) } 9:11\\ \text{amending (1) } 11:12\\ \text{amond (1) } 5:7\\ \text{amounts (1) } 15:7\\ \text{amownts (1) } 15:7\\ \text{amownts (1) } 15:17\\ \text{amownts (1) } 20:17\\ \end{array}$					
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Aligned (1) 12:11} \\ \text{aligned (1) 12:11} \\ \text{along (2) 10:1;23:9} \\ \text{alters (1) 6:6} \\ \text{although (1) 22:14} \\ \text{altogether (1) 8:14} \\ \text{altogether (1) 8:14} \\ \text{always (6) 5:15;9:15,} \\ 18,18,22,22 \\ \text{amended (1) 9:11} \\ \text{amending (1) 11:12} \\ \text{among (1) 5:7} \\ \text{amounts (1) 15:17} \\ \text{amowered (1) 20:17} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{behind (2) 6:2;25:2} \\ \text{best (1) 22:4} \\ \text{better (1) 18:19} \\ \text{big (8) 18:10,20;23:10,} \\ 11,11,11,12,16 \\ \text{big (8) 18:10,20;23:10,} \\ 11,11,11,12,16 \\ \text{big (8) 18:10,20;23:10,} \\ 11,11,11,11,12,16 \\ \text{big (8) 18:10,20;23:10,} \\ 11,11,11,11,12,16 \\ \text{big gest (3) 10:22;14:9;} \\ 15:9 \\ \text{big (8) 10:22;14:9;} \\ 15:9 \\ \text{big (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 26:2,14,18,24;27:2,4 \\ \text{chance (1) 10:17} \\ \text{change (2) 12:7,8} \\ \text{change (2) 12:7,8} \\ \text{change (2) 12:7,8} \\ \text{change (1) 10:16} \\ \text{chino (1) 4:13} \\ \text{countel (1) 20:13} \\ \text{counties (2) 13:7,14} \\ \text{emails (1) 22:17} \\ \text{emails (1) 22:17} \\ \text{bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5} \\ \text{bill (1) 5:17} \\ \text{answered (1) 20:17} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{behind (2) 6:2;25:2} \\ \text{best (1) 22:4} \\ \text{botter (1) 18:19} \\ \text{change (2) 12:7,8} \\ \text{change (2) 12:7,8} \\ \text{change (2) 12:7,8} \\ \text{change (2) 12:7,8} \\ \text{change (1) 10:16} \\ \text{countel (1) 20:13} \\ \text{counties (2) 13:7,14} \\ \text{counties (2) 13:7,14} \\ \text{emails (1) 22:17} \\ \text{emails (1) 22:17} \\ \text{emails (1) 22:17} \\ \text{counties (2) 13:7,14} \\ \text{emails (1) 18:2} \\ \text{ended (1) 18:2} \\ \text{ended (1) 18:2} \\ \text{countel (1) 20:24} \\ \text{ended (1) 18:2} \\ \text{ended (1) 18:2} \\ \text{ended (1) 16:7} \\ \text{ended (1) 16:7} \\ \text{enforcement (6) 5:} \\ \text{course (1) 20:24} \\ course$.,	
alighed (1) 12:11 best (1) 22:4 chance (1) 10:17 corrections (1) 3:9 elections (1) 4:12 along (2) 10:1;23:9 better (1) 18:19 better (1) 18:19 chance (1) 10:17 corrections (1) 3:9 elections (1) 4:12 altough (1) 22:14 big (8) 18:10,20;23:10, 11,11,11,12,16 change (2) 12:7,8 council (1) 4:10 15:20;19:12,16;2 always (6) 5:15;9:15, biggest (3) 10:22;14:9; 15:9 chorus (3) 3:21;21:23; count (1) 18:3 elements (1) 6:25 amended (1) 9:11 Bill (11) 5:8,19;6:3;7:5; Bill (11) 5:8,19;6:3;7:5; Chris (2) 19:2,11 counties (2) 13:7,14 emails (1) 22:17 among (1) 5:7 Bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 clause (2) 7:6,6 course (1) 20:24 ended (1) 16:7 answered (1) 20:17 bit (1) 4:16 course (1) 20:24 6:18;10:23;11:3; enforcement (6) 5:					
alters (1) 6:6 better (1) 18:19 change (2) 12:7,8 cost (2) 23:21;24:9 8:10,12;9:14,21; altough (1) 22:14 big (8) 18:10,20;23:10, change (2) 12:7,8 council (1) 4:10 15:20;19:12,16;2 altogether (1) 8:14 11,11,11,12,16 biggest (3) 10:22;14:9; change (1) 4:13 council (1) 4:10 15:20;19:12,16;2 always (6) 5:15;9:15, biggest (3) 10:22;14:9; 15:9 Chorus (3) 3:21;21:23; counties (2) 13:7,14 elements (1) 6:25 amended (1) 9:11 Bill (11) 5:8,19;6:3;7:5; Bill (11) 5:8,19;6:3;7:5; Chris (2) 19:2,11 counties (2) 13:7,14 emails (1) 22:17 among (1) 5:7 Bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 claimed (1) 5:25 county (2) 15:10;16:3 endd (1) 18:2 amounts (1) 10:17 bills (1) 4:16 claimed (1) 5:25 course (1) 20:24 6:18;10:23;11:3; answered (1) 20:17 bit (1) 4:16 claimed (1) 5:27,19:14; course (1) 20:24 6:18;10:23;11:3;				.,	
although (1) 22:14 big (8) 18:10,20;23:10, 11,11,11,11,12,16 changes (1) 10:16 Chino (1) 4:13 council (1) 4:10 15:20;19:12,16;2 always (6) 5:15;9:15, 18,18,22,22 big (8) 18:10,20;23:10, 15:9 changes (1) 10:16 Chino (1) 4:13 council (1) 4:10 15:20;19:12,16;2 amended (1) 9:11 big (1) 15:8,19;6:3;7:5; 14 big (3) 10:22;14:9; 15:9 changes (1) 10:16 council (1) 4:10 15:20;19:12,16;2 amending (1) 11:12 big (3) 10:22;14:9; 15:9 changes (1) 10:16 council (1) 4:13 elements (1) 6:25 amending (1) 11:12 9:15,16;12:7,7,9;16:14, 14 Chris (2) 19:2,11 counties (2) 13:7,14 emails (1) 22:17 among (1) 5:7 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 claimed (1) 5:25 county (2) 15:10;16:3 endd (1) 18:2 answered (1) 20:17 bit (1) 4:16 claimed (1) 5:27 10:10 enforcement (6) 5:			. ,		
although (1) 22:14 big (8) 18:10,20;23:10, 11,11,11,12,16 changes (1) 10:16 council (1) 4:10 15:20;19:12,16;2 altogether (1) 8:14 11,11,11,11,12,16 biggest (3) 10:22;14:9; 15:9 changes (1) 10:16 council (1) 4:10 15:20;19:12,16;2 always (6) 5:15;9:15, 18;18,22,22 bill (11) 5:8,19;6:3;7:5; 9 bill (11) 5:8,19;6:3;7:5; 9 changes (1) 10:16 council (1) 4:10 elements (1) 6:25 amended (1) 9:11 amending (1) 11:12 Bill (11) 5:8,19;6:3;7:5; 9 Chris (2) 19:2,11 counties (2) 13:7,14 emails (1) 22:17 among (1) 5:7 Bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 Changes (1) 10:16 counties (1) 14:8 endt (1) 18:2 amounts (1) 15:17 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 clause (2) 7:6,6 course (1) 20:24 endd (1) 16:7 answered (1) 20:17 bit (1) 4:16 clause (2) 7:6,6 10:10 course (1) 20:24 6:18;10:23;11:3,9	alters (1) 6:6				
altogether (1) 8:14 11,11,11,12,16 Chino (1) 4:13 counsel (1) 20:13 elements (1) 6:25 always (6) 5:15;9:15, biggest (3) 10:22;14:9; 15:9 Chino (1) 4:13 counsel (1) 20:13 elements (1) 6:25 amended (1) 9:11 Bill (11) 5:8,19;6:3;7:5; 9:15,16;12:7,7,9;16:14, Chris (2) 19:2,11 counties (2) 13:7,14 enact (1) 7:7 among (1) 5:7 9:15,16;12:7,7,9;16:14, Citizens (1) 3:4 counties (2) 15:10;16:3 endd (1) 18:2 amounts (1) 15:17 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 clause (2) 7:6,6 10:10 endd (1) 16:7 answered (1) 20:17 bit (1) 4:16 course (1) 20:24 6:18;10:23;11:3,			• • • •	.,	15:20;19:12,16;20:15
always (6) 5:15;9:15, 18,18,22,22 biggest (3) 10:22;14:9; 15:9 Chorus (3) 3:21;21:23; 27:1 count (1) 18:3 else (2) 25:13,24 amended (1) 9:11 Bill (11) 5:8,19;6:3;7:5; amending (1) 11:12 Bill (11) 5:8,19;6:3;7:5; 14 Chorus (3) 3:21;21:23; 27:1 count (1) 18:3 else (2) 25:13,24 amending (1) 11:12 Bill (11) 5:8,19;6:3;7:5; amounts (1) 15:7 Bill (11) 5:8,19;6:3;7:5; 14 Chris (2) 19:2,11 counties' (1) 14:8 enact (1) 7:7 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 clause (2) 7:6,6 couple (3) 4:6;5:13; endd (1) 16:7 answered (1) 20:17 bit (1) 4:16 clause (2) 7:6,6 course (1) 20:24 6:18;10:23;11:3,4		11,11,11,12,16	Chino (1) 4:13	counsel (1) 20:13	elements (1) 6:25
18,18,22,22 15:9 27:1 counties (2) 13:7,14 emails (1) 22:17 amended (1) 9:11 Bill (11) 5:8,19;6:3;7:5; 27:1 counties (2) 13:7,14 enaits (1) 22:17 amending (1) 11:12 9:15,16;12:7,7,9;16:14, 14 Chris (2) 19:2,11 counties (1) 14:8 enaits (1) 22:17 amoong (1) 5:7 14 14 Citizens (1) 3:4 counties (2) 13:7,14 enact (1) 7:7 amounts (1) 15:17 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 clause (2) 7:6,6 course (1) 20:24 ended (1) 16:7 answered (1) 20:17 bit (1) 4:16 14 clause (2) 7:6,6 10:10 enforcement (6) 5:		biggest (3) 10:22:14:9:	Chorus (3) 3:21;21:23:	count (1) 18:3	else (2) 25:13,24
10,10,22,22 amended (1) 9:11 amended (1) 9:11 amending (1) 11:12 among (1) 5:7 amounts (1) 15:17 answered (1) 20:17 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 bills (4) 6:0 chris (2) 19:2,11 counties' (1) 14:8 counties' (1) 14:16					
amending (1) 11:12 9:15,16;12:7,7,9;16:14, 14 Citizens (1) 3:4 County (2) 15:10;16:3 end (1) 18:2 among (1) 5:7 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 claimed (1) 5:25 clause (2) 7:6,6 course (1) 20:17 end (1) 18:2 bit (1) 4:16 bit (1) 4:16 clause (2) 7:6,6 course (1) 20:24 end (1) 18:2					
among (1) 5:7 14 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 claimed (1) 5:25 amounts (1) 15:17 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 bills (1) 4:16 claimed (1) 5:25 claimed (1) 5:25 couple (3) 4:6;5:13; claimed (1) 5:25 couple (3) 4:6;5:13; claimed (1) 5:25 claimed (1) 5:25 claimed (1) 5:25 couple (3) 4:6;5:13; ended (1) 16:7 enforcement (6) 5: claimed (1) 20:17 bit (1) 4:16 claimed (1) 5:25 couple (3) 4:6;5:13; claimed (1) 5:25 claimed (1) 5:25 claimed (1) 20:24 6:18;10:23;11:3;					
amounts (1) 15:17 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 clause (2) 7:6,6 10:10 enforcement (6) 5: answered (1) 20:17 bit (1) 4:16 Clean (7) 3:4;7:1;9:14; course (1) 20:24 6:18;10:23;11:3,9					
amounts (1) 15:17 bills (3) 5:13;9:21;12:5 clause (2) 7:6,6 10:10 enforcement (6) 5: answered (1) 20:17 bit (1) 4:16 Clean (7) 3:4;7:1;9:14; course (1) 20:24 6:18;10:23;11:3,4					
answered (1) $20:17$ bit (1) $4:16$ Clean (7) $3:4;7:1;9:14;$ course (1) $20:24$ $6:18;10:23;11:3,4$					
		bit (1) 4:16	Clean (7) 3:4;7:1;9:14;	course (1) 20:24	6:18;10:23;11:3,4,11
anticinate (1) 1()-18 Mauk (1) 0.7 19.12,13,20.11,13 COVER (1) 23.22 EROUGH (1) 13:10	anticipate (1) 10:18	black (1) 6:9	19:12,15;20:11,15	Cover (1) 23:22	enough (1) 15:16
anticipate (1) 10.18 body (1) 9:9 COLLINS (31) 4:3; covered (1) 26:9 enroll (1) 23:23					
					evaluated (1) 23:18
appeal (1) $11:17$ both (4) $6:7;19:15;$ $10:2,6;11:7,20;12:3;$ crossing (1) $19:22$ evaluated (1) $23:18$	appeal (1) 11:17	NULL (4) 0.7,17.13,	10.2,0,11.7,20,12.3,	Crossing (1) 17.22	evalualeu (1) 23.10

Citizens Clean Elections				March 28, 2019
even (8) 6:12;7:14;8:9; 9:16,16,16;10:20; 15:12 everybody (4) 18:10,20, 22;24:16 everyone (1) 15:3 example (1) 11:2 exciting (1) 24:17 execute (1) 6:8 executive (3) 4:1; 10:10;16:18 expanded (3) 14:7,8; 23:23 expected (1) 19:19 expensive (1) 25:1 experience (1) 19:15 expressed (1) 6:19	generally (1) 19:6 gets (2) 16:6;25:24 GILFILLAN (8) 19:5,9, 11,11;20:1,7,12,24 Gina (11) 4:18,21,25; 22:8,9,25,25;24:8,14, 17;25:3 goal (1) 10:15 goes (2) 9:12;10:1 Good (11) 3:3;4:24; 5:2;8:25;10:9,11; 17:14,21;18:23;19:18; 24:12 government (1) 4:22 governor (4) 9:10;12:9, 14,15 great (4) 5:9,9;26:1,13 groups (1) 13:8	implications (1) 11:12 import (1) 6:24 important (3) 24:20; 25:23,24 inactive (2) 13:18,21 incorrect (1) 6:7 independent (1) 15:11 Independents (1) 15:20 infirmities (1) 5:24 information (1) 4:14 informed (2) 5:11;15:5 initiative (2) 23:14,22 integral (1) 6:21 interest (2) 10:4;23:25 into (5) 6:9,25;10:24, 25;21:12 investment (1) 5:2 I's (1) 19:22	last (9) 4:6;8:21;9:13, 15,16;12:9;15:7,8; 17:18 late (1) 14:10 later (1) 4:17 law (3) 8:25;11:21; 15:16 LD (1) 19:13 leadership (1) 7:8 learn (1) 5:2 least (2) 10:12;15:25 left (2) 5:3;10:9 legal (4) 5:24;7:14; 15:18;20:13 legally (1) 6:8 legislation (2) 6:5,6 legislative (2) 4:1;5:12 legislature (1) 6:10	means (1) 15:19 measure (2) 5:25;6:1 media (2) 4:22;5:1 Medi-Cal (1) 23:23 meeting (4) 3:4,7,18; 21:17 meetings (1) 10:11 meets (1) 15:15 members (3) 5:23;7:4; 11:24 memorandum (1) 17:5 mention (1) 12:22 message (1) 24:3 met (1) 5:15 MEYER (13) 3:14;11:6; 16:9,11,16;20:4,5,20; 21:8,14;25:19;26:7,23 microphone (2) 19:8;
F	GRRC (6) 8:20;11:3,4,	issue (9) 7:10;8:18;	letter (2) 5:21,22	21:13
	10,13,15	10:22;11:13;13:5;14:9;	Libertarians (1) 15:22	Mike (4) 7:25;10:1;
Facebook (1) 22:17 fact (4) 15:12;18:21;	GRRC's (1) 8:21 guess (2) 9:23;25:8	15:18;17:25;18:20 issues (4) 10:13;11:1;	lies (1) 14:21 likely (2) 8:3;15:25	17:5,21 minute (1) 7:19
23:14;24:2	guidance (1) 5:1	14:4;17:16	line (2) 7:13;21:1	minute (1) 7.19 minutes (5) 3:7,10,15,
far (2) 20:10;25:1	guys (1) 26:5	Item (5) 3:6;16:21;	list (6) 12:8,22;13:13,	18,24
Farnsworth (2) 5:15,22 fast (1) 17:19	Н	22:2;26:15,19 IV (1) 16:21	18;14:15;16:23 listen (1) 19:5	miss (2) 15:20,22 Monday (1) 12:16
faster (1) 17:19			literally (1) 11:13	month (2) 17:18;22:14
favor (3) 3:20;21:22;	hand (5) 9:11;13:12;	J	little (4) 4:16;9:23;	months (1) 10:11
26:24 feel (3) 18:19,22,23	14:2,3;17:24 happen (1) 20:18	job (3) 25:4,16;26:1	13:25,25 lobbyist (1) 6:3	more (9) 4:16;5:2;13:8, 16,25;17:23;24:21;
feeling (1) 8:16	happened (1) 10:3	Joe (1) 13:20	local (1) 4:12	25:1,23
figured (2) 8:4;10:12	happy (3) 23:1;24:15,	joke (1) 9:23	look (8) 4:24;14:13;	morning (2) 3:3;9:21
final (1) 16:22 finalists (1) 24:23	15 hassle (1) 18:10	Judge (1) 6:20 Judiciary (4) 5:7,14;	17:6;23:4,5,7,19;24:23 looks (1) 22:19	mornings (1) 5:16 most (1) 24:20
Finally (1) 9:7	heard (1) 17:22	7:22;11:14	lose (1) 11:21	motion (4) 3:12;21:6;
finance (1) 17:7 find (3) 17:16;19:20;	helped (1) 19:16 helpful (4) 19:25;20:14,	K	lost (1) 25:24 lot (3) 6:16;8:23;18:19	26:20,21 move (4) 3:14;13:14;
20:8	16,19	K	Luis (1) 4:13	21:8,14
findings (3) 17:8;21:2,2	helping (1) 25:4	Kara (1) 13:20		moved (2) 3:17;21:20
firms (1) 23:13 first (2) 13:13;23:5	hide (1) 25:12 hiding (2) 25:8,9	KARLSON (1) 13:23 keep (3) 5:11;12:4;	Μ	moving (1) 12:6 much (2) 16:6;20:23
five (1) 8:21	high (1) 4:7	26:1	mail (1) 14:8	MYER (1) 20:8
floor (1) 16:14	highlight (1) 12:2	keeping (1) 12:18	mailing (2) 14:16;16:12	N
folks (7) 8:11;9:19; 14:25;15:1,5;17:23;	high-powered (1) 25:2 historically (1) 5:14	kept (1) 5:17 kidding (1) 11:18	mailings (1) 13:17 main (1) 9:9	N
23:8	hole (1) 6:9	KIMBLE (47) 3:3,12,17,	major (1) 17:16	name (3) 6:2;19:8,11
following (1) 16:22	honestly (1) 19:20	22,24;7:18,21,24;8:7,	making (1) 14:13	Nashville (1) 4:22
forecast (1) 7:24 forth (2) 21:9,16	hoping (1) 10:14 House (13) 5:8,16,19,	15;9:25;10:3;11:19,23; 12:1,21,24;15:19;16:1,	many (5) 9:13;13:8; 14:6,21;25:5	national (1) 23:12 necessarily (2) 10:19;
found (4) 18:13;19:15;	23;6:1;7:3,8;8:9,10,17;	5,17,20;18:7;19:7,10,	March (3) 3:5,7,19	12:19
20:14,18	9:12;13:2;19:12 bugg (1) 23:24	24;20:2,21,23,25;21:6,	massive (1) 23:25	need (7) 7:5,7;14:17;
four (1) 15:22 four-plus (1) 25:21	huge (1) 23:24	11,20,24;22:1,8,10,21; 24:19;25:11,18;26:2,	material (1) 13:17 materials (2) 21:9,16	15:2,4,5;21:11 needed (4) 6:13;19:17,
front (2) 4:15;5:12	I	14,18,24;27:2,4	matter (4) 10:4;11:3,4,7	17;20:10
fun (2) 9:23,23 future (1) 7:12	ID (1) 12:13	kind (8) 9:3;10:14; 12:20;15:17;17:16;	matters (2) 24:22;25:5 May (3) 4:13;22:11,14	needs (1) 9:4 next (2) 4:21;22:13
	idea (1) 17:23	12:20;15:17;17:16; 19:14,17;23:20	maybe (2) 14:17;22:17	night (1) 9:22
G	ideas (1) 24:7	kinds (3) 23:7,8,8	mayoral (1) 4:6	non-participatory (1)
Gamble (1) 24:25	identify (1) 24:3 ignore (1) 7:9	knows (1) 24:16 Knox (1) 5:6	mean (22) 6:11,11; 7:13,14;8:8,22;9:3,13;	19:14 non-party (1) 11:10
gave (1) 19:18	ignores (1) 6:22		10:7,20;12:3,17;14:2,	non-party (1) 11:10 nor (1) 6:9
General (2) 16:22;	II (1) 3:6	L	24;15:2,5;16:4;23:4,	note (1) 19:1
21:15	III (1) 3:25		23,24,25;24:13	notion (2) 6:4;15:4
	1	1	1	I

Number (5) 3:6,25;	18:25:23:23	put (6) 6:10;8:11;9:3;	respondent (2) 11:5,8	somehow (1) 6:4
13:17;14:20;25:6	permanent (9) 12:8,22;	13:18;22:18;23:3	response (8) 3:11,23;	someone (1) 9:25
	13:12,12,13,15;14:15,	putting (1) 26:2	11:25;16:19;21:5,25;	sometimes (1) 25:25
Ο	16;15:21	puzzle (1) 15:8	26:17;27:3	sorry (1) 18:6
	person (2) 6:2;12:12	F (,	restart (1) 10:17	sort (3) 6:1,3,9
observed (1) 15:10	personnel (1) 10:16	Q	result (1) 14:20	speak (1) 21:12
obviously (6) 4:16;	perspective (3) 7:14;		RIESTER (4) 23:2;	speaks (1) 24:22
5:10;10:23;23:1;24:14;		quick (1) 4:5	24:8;25:3;26:7	spending (1) 23:9
25:1	persuaded (1) 9:1	quickly (1) 20:17	right (10) 4:24;5:6,13;	sponsor (2) 6:1,2
o'clock (1) 9:21	PEVL (2) 13:22;15:12	quite (1) 24:21	7:23;11:6;13:2,4;	squared (1) 7:15
off (3) 11:9;14:20;	Phoenix (2) 4:5,8	4	15:24:19:23:24:3	squeaker (2) 9:15,17
15:21	pick (1) 15:13	R	rights (1) 13:8	staff (4) 8:21;10:9;
office (2) 13:7;23:6	piece (2) 15:8;25:23		Rivko (1) 5:6	18:11;20:15
off-putting (1) 19:21	place (1) 13:14	radically (1) 6:6	road (1) 15:15	stand (2) 6:12;12:24
often (1) 24:21	places (2) 12:11,12	raise (1) 10:25	roll (1) 9:20	state (2) 19:8;24:16
one (9) 5:3;11:17;	play (1) 9:23	raises (1) 13:11	rubber (1) 15:15	statements (1) 17:7
12:21,21,22;16:13;	please (1) 19:8	random (3) 17:17,20;	rule-making (1) 6:24	states (2) 23:8,11
17:11;24:20;27:2	point (3) 7:4;10:18;	18:1	rules (4) 6:23;7:4,9;	statute (1) 11:12
ones (1) 12:1	23:18	randoms (1) 18:16	8:20	step (1) 10:1
online (1) 22:22	policy (4) 14:6,12,21;	rapport (1) 8:25	ruling (1) 6:20	still (2) 5:4;9:17
only (5) 6:2,25;13:13;	15:8	rather (1) 22:13	running (2) 4:11;20:10	stop (1) 7:19
15:20;17:25	political (1) 14:5	reached (1) 16:14	runoff (1) 4:9	straight (1) 9:10
opportunity (2) 5:1;	politics (1) 7:15	ready (2) 18:3,5	runs (1) 24:17	strange (1) 7:3
26:12	polling (1) 12:12	Real (2) 4:5;5:24		strangely (1) 7:3
opposed (5) 3:22;	position (1) 16:8	reality (1) 10:7	S	strangers (1) 11:14
15:21;16:4;21:24;27:2	possible (4) 3:6,25;	really (12) 12:4,18;		stressful (1) 18:20
order (3) 3:4;11:10,13	16:21;22:2	15:14;17:21;18:5,23;	same (4) 4:11;5:17;	stuff (4) 9:19;10:16;
ordered (1) 11:16	PR (1) 22:4	19:21;23:11,11,11,12,	8:16;18:15	18:4;25:25
organizations (1) 25:2	practical (1) 11:7	25	San (1) 4:13	successful (1) 24:11
out (9) 7:4;9:17,20;	predict (1) 9:2	reason (4) 6:12;9:19;	saw (2) 22:16,17	Sunday (1) 9:22
10:12;13:2,2;22:15,18;	prepared (1) 18:4	19:4;23:3	saying (1) 25:21	supposed (1) 14:2
25:10	presented (1) 9:5	receipts (1) 17:7	SB (1) 12:22	Sure (7) 7:20;9:5;10:2,
outlining (1) 5:23	pretty (3) 4:7;23:16,16	receive (2) 13:16;14:18	schedule (1) 5:17	6;12:10;20:1,7
outside (2) 14:1,1	primary (2) 12:7;15:14	received (1) 20:9	seats (1) 4:10	т
outstanding (2) 25:4,7	priority (1) 16:6	receiving (1) 23:2	Second (4) 3:16;21:10,	Т
over (2) 6:18;10:19	probably (3) 5:8;8:3;	recognition (1) 22:4	18;26:23	
overall (1) 14:19	15:15	record (3) 16:25;17:4;	seconded (2) 3:18; 21:21	talk (4) 4:16,19;19:3,6
overlooked (1) 24:21	problem (3) 14:6,24; 15:9	19:10 Recorders (2) 15:10:		talked (1) 17:18
overwhelmingly (1) 20:16	problems (1) 13:11	Recorders (2) 15:10;	Secretary's (1) 13:7 sector (1) 22:4	talking (4) 8:25;22:11; 23:10,10
	proceeding (2) 11:10,	16:3		
own (1) 10:25	15	records (1) 17:7 referenced (1) 24:25	seems (1) 24:21 Senate (9) 5:7,17;7:10,	target (1) 24:3 terms (1) 6:6
Р	proceedings (1) 27:5	regardless (1) 7:14	22;8:16,20;9:9,9;16:14	testify (1) 5:18
1	process (7) 11:11,22;	regular (2) 12:12;13:17	Senator (1) 14:13	THOMAS (1) 21:12
page (1) 12:15	17:6,13,19;19:6;21:3	relationship (1) 8:24	send (1) 5:21	though (1) 9:16
Palmer's (1) 6:20	Procter (1) 24:25	reliable (1) 8:13	sense (2) 6:16;13:15	thought (3) 5:9;8:2;
part (5) 6:19;13:5,5;	professionals (1) 23:18	remains (1) 8:22	sent (2) 5:23;8:13	10:13
16:25;23:4	program (2) 23:7;24:18	reminded (1) 15:3	set (2) 21:9,16	three-quarter (1) 7:7
participated (1) 20:12	project (1) 22:25	reopen (3) 11:11,15,17	settled (1) 4:10	throughout (1) 8:21
participating (1) 16:23	promote (1) 14:2	report (5) 4:1,1;10:10;	show (1) 12:13	thrown (1) 6:14
participation (1) 14:3	proof (2) 23:17;24:6	12:15;16:18	shuffle (1) 25:25	Thursday (2) 3:5;5:16
particularly (1) 8:9	Prop (6) 6:4,6,8,20,23;	reports (1) 17:8	side (2) 24:9,10	tighten (1) 17:12
parts (1) 6:19	7:10	Representatives (1)	sides (1) 19:15	timeline (1) 18:15
party (1) 15:13	proposed (1) 6:5	19:13	signed (3) 12:9,14,16	timeliness (1) 22:13
passed (1) 13:2	propositions (1) 6:7	Republicans (1) 15:22	significant (2) 17:9;21:2	timely (1) 4:19
passes (2) 9:9;10:20	Protection (1) 7:6	request (1) 15:11	similar (1) 5:22	Title (8) 6:11,13,14,14,
PATON (11) 3:16;18:8,	proud (3) 22:24,24;	require (1) 12:11	similarly (1) 7:11	14,15,25,25
9,12,18,25;20:22;	25:3	required (2) 6:8;7:5	single (1) 10:22	today (4) 4:18;5:20;
21:10,18;25:15;26:21	public (4) 22:4;23:25;	requirement (1) 12:13	slash (1) 14:5	20:6;22:9
Paula (2) 17:21;24:8	26:15,16	requires (2) 6:4;12:10	social (2) 4:22;5:1	today's (1) 21:17
pending (1) 5:4	purposes (2) 12:5;	reregister (1) 13:15	solve (1) 17:25	together (2) 8:12;24:9
people (8) 4:24;6:2;	15:13	respect (1) 4:17	somebody (2) 8:5;	told (1) 6:23
7:16,16;13:8;14:20;	pushing (2) 6:4;12:20	respecting (1) 12:6	18:21	Tom (6) 4:2;12:2;17:1;
		1	1	1

Citizens Clean Elections			 March 28, 2019
20.12/22.6.26.2	wook (2) 4-01-10-0	9 (4) 12.15	
20:13;22:6;26:3	week (3) 4:21;12:9;	8 (1) 12:15	
towards (1) 10:14	22:4		
track (2) 12:4,18	weeks (1) 4:7		
training (2) 20:9,18	weren't (1) 16:15		
trainings (1) 20:13	What's (1) 7:24		
tries (1) 6:24	wheelhouse (1) 14:1		
true (1) 5:14	Whereupon (1) 27:5		
try (2) 6:21;17:8	Wickenburg (1) 4:13		
trying (1) 12:4	willy-nilly (1) 18:21		
T's (1) 19:22	without (2) 24:4,5		
Tuesday (3) 13:3,4,4	words (1) 13:14		
turnout (1) 4:8	work (5) 10:14;17:21;		
	22:24;23:2,17		
Twitter (1) 22:17			
two (4) 4:10;10:22;	working (2) 24:7;26:13		
15:20;20:12	works (1) 12:8		
T	worry (1) 20:17		
U	wrong (1) 13:20		
	T 7	1	
Ugenti-Rita (1) 14:13	Y		
unamended (1) 9:8		7	
unappealable (1) 11:14	year (2) 9:16;17:9		
undertakings (1) 23:12	years (5) 8:21;9:13;		
unlikely (1) 5:18	14:6;25:6,22		
unsolvable (1) 14:23		-	
up (9) 4:12;8:4;16:8;	1		
17:12,16;18:2;19:7;		-	
23:9;26:1	10:01 (1) 27:6		
upside (1) 24:6	1046 (2) 16:9,11		
use (2) 4:23;6:13	1040 (2) 10.9,11 105 (1) 7:10		
using (3) 14:15,25;15:1			
using (3) 14.13,23,13.1	1188 (2) 12:22;16:10		
V	13 (1) 6:15		
•	16 (1) 6:14		
	18 (2) 4:17;22:3		
Valley (1) 4:13	1998 (1) 7:16		
value (1) 25:17			
VI (1) 26:15	2		
via (1) 6:11		-	
view (2) 17:11;19:18	20 (1) 19:13		
VII (1) 26:19	2016 (1) 19:14		
violates (1) 6:21	2018 (6) 4:18;5:3;7:16;		
vitiate (1) 6:17	16:22;21:15;22:3		
vitiates (1) 6:17	2019 (3) 3:5,8,19		
vote (8) 5:8;7:7,15,16;	2146 (2) 16:10,10		
8:3;11:9;12:11;14:10	21st (1) 4:13		
voted (1) 9:15	23 (2) 16:24;21:3		
voter (17) 4:15,25;7:6;	2724 (3) 5:8,19;11:24		
12:5,8,22;13:8,13,19,	28th (1) 3:5		
22;14:15,22;22:3;24:7,		-	
18,19;25:22	3		
voters (2) 6:22;15:11		4	
	3.00 (1) 0.21		
votes (1) 16:15	3:00 (1) 9:21		
voting (5) 12:11;13:17;	306 (7) 6:4,6,8,20,23;		
14:7,7,8	10:13,19		
VPA (3) 6:21;7:5;8:17	32 (1) 6:25		
XX 7	36 (1) 6:14		
W	A		
waiting (1) 22.12	4		
waiting (1) 22:13	44 (0) < 11 10 25		
wants (3) 6:10;19:2;	41 (3) 6:11,13,25		
26:16	4th (2) 3:7,19		
watching (1) 22:21	2	1	
way (3) 8:6;17:25;18:2	8		
website (1) 4:14		1	